1st ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES RQS18-0009

CEI Services for Shingle Creek Trail from Sand Lake Road to Oak Ridge Road January 24, 2018 – 8 a.m.

Tarpon Conference Room (4th Floor) City Hall, 400 S. Orange Ave., Orlando, FL

First Meeting of the Advisory Committee to review and evaluate responsive qualification statements submitted in response to the subject solicitation.

Committee Members Present:

William Burns, Project Manager II (Chair)
Julio Alamo, Construction Inspector III
Peter Holzer, Construction Manager
Adam Walosik, Construction Manager
Byron Raysor, Contract Compliance Investigator III, Executive Offices/MWBE Office

Other City Personnel Present:

Teddi McCorkle, Senior Contract Administrator (Facilitator) Fabio Henao, Purchasing Agent II

Members of the Public Present:

None

Actions/Discussion/Motions:

The Facilitator called the meeting to order at 8:11 a.m. and took the following actions:

- 1) Introduced herself and asked all in attendance to introduce themselves.
- 2) Advised that Committee was approved and ethics forms were received.
- 3) Advised the Committee that a quorum was established.
- 4) Announced that the meeting was publicly posted for more than 48 hours in advance.
- 5) Facilitator reviewed Advisory Committee Rules.
- 6) Reviewed Public Input Procedures.

A motion was made by <u>Byron Raysor</u>, and seconded by <u>William Burns</u>, to accept the Public Input Procedures. There were no members of the public present. The motion carried unanimously.

Committee Members were advised that Qualification Statements must be independently scored by each Member; that Committee Members should not indicate what score he/she gives to a particular firm; and that Committee Members must not attempt to influence other Committee Members in their scoring.

Three (3) sealed qualification statements were submitted in response to the solicitation. All three (3) qualification statements received were certified as qualified by the Consultants' Qualifications Board on January 23, 2018.

The Meeting was turned over to the technical Chair, who indicated that those three (3) firms are those as listed below:

- 1) CDM Smith, Inc.
- 2) DRMP, Inc.
- 3) GAI Consultants, Inc.

The Committee had a brief discussion, and each Committee member individually scored and ranked each firm. The consolidated results are as follows:

- 1) CDM Smith, Inc.
- 2) DRMP, Inc.
- 3) GAI Consultants, Inc.

A motion was made by <u>William Burns</u>, and seconded by <u>Peter Holzer</u>, to invite the top three (3) firms for presentations and interviews. There were no members of the public present. The motion carried unanimously.

As per the solicitation, each Presentation shall be twenty minutes (20) in length followed by a ten (10) minute question and answer period

Presentations are scheduled for February 7, 2018, beginning at 9 a.m. starting in the House (Lewkowicz) Conference Room located at the 7th Floor, and then alternating between the Tarpon Conference Room on the 4th Floor and the House (Lewkowicz) Conference Room located at the 7th Floor.

A motion was made by <u>Adam Walosik</u>, and seconded by <u>Byron Raysor</u>, to adjourn at <u>8:54</u> a.m. The motion carried unanimously.

These minutes are considered to be the official minutes of the RQS18-0009 Advisory Committee Meeting held on January 24, 2018, and no other notes, tapes, or other recordings taken by anyone takes precedence.

Submitted and Reviewed by:

Reviewed and Accepted by:

Teddi McCorkle, CPPB,C.P.M. (Facilitator)

Senior Contract Administrator

Project Manager II CIP Division

Attachments:

Public Input Procedures with CPO Memo dated 9/30/2013 Consolidated Scoring and Rating Spreadsheet Individual Scoring and Rating Sheets

MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 30, 2013

TO: Procurement and Contracts Division Staff

FROM: David Billingsley, CPSM, C.P.M., Chief Procurement Officer

SUBJECT: Public Input

The Florida Legislature recently enacted a new state law, s. 286.1114, which requires that all local government boards and committees that are subject to the sunshine law provide an opportunity for reasonable public input prior to taking official action on any item (with the exception of administrative items such as approval of minutes and quasijudicial proceedings). Such comment must be allowed at the meeting where the board or committee takes action on the item or at a meeting in reasonable proximity to that date. Boards and Committees may adopt rules or policies governing the public input.

Procurement Advisory Committees are affected by this statue since they are sunshine committees and are making an award recommendation to City Council. Procurement Advisory Committees must adopt procedures for all meetings after October 1, 2013.

The statute provides that each committee can provide for its own implementation rules. As such, Procurement Advisory Committees should make a motion at the first meeting to follow these rules. For a particular procurement, the committee may modify or amend the procedures applicable to that solicitation. For example, if the procurement has a large public interest, the committee could establish longer comment periods.

Attached are recommended procedures for public input during Procurement Advisory Committees meetings.

Public Input Procedures For Procurement Advisory Committees

- A. After each motion (and a second) but before committee discussion on all non-ministerial motions, public comment will be permitted. Ministerial motions would be those that are not substantive actions, including most procedural motions, motions to approve minutes, and motions to adjourn.
- B. Each speaker is limited to 5 minutes. The Committee Chairperson may grant more time to a speaker, provided that if any other committee member objects to the granting of more time, the committee as a whole will vote on the extension.
- C. Public comment is limited to 30 minutes per motion.
- D. Groups are to be asked (not required) to appoint a spokesperson to avoid redundancy and stay within allotted time periods.
- E. If there are more speakers than would allow each to get their full 5 minutes, time periods will be reduced proportionally to not less than 1 minute per speaker unless the committee votes to extend the comment period. If there are more speakers than minutes in the comment period, by act of the Chairperson without objection from a member of the committee, or after a committee vote if there is an objection, the maximum comment period may be extended. As a practical matter, committees should try to extend the time where possible to allow everyone a chance to speak. If this is not possible due to time constraints or number of requests, comments should be taken in random order from all those requesting to speak until time expires.
- F. Each person addressing the committee should give their name and address for the record (minutes). Per the statute, a form asking to speak can be used (which may help with drafting the minutes and establishing priority to speak).
- G. Remarks should be addressed to the committee as a whole, not to individual members of the committee. This is not a question and answer period. The public may comment on the issues before the committee, but the committee is not required to respond to questions.
- H. Minutes should reflect that public comment was solicited even where no public comment was given, i.e. "The chairperson asked if there was anyone from the public who would like to speak, but no requests were received" or similar words should appear in the minutes.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Bill Burns	Julio	Peter	Adam	Byron
	Alamo	Holzer	Walosik	Raysor

CONSOLIDATED RANKING:

	Bill Burns	Julio Alamo	Peter Holzer	Adam Walosik	Byron Raysor	Total	Ranking
CDM Smith, Inc.	2	1	1	1	1	6	1
DRMP, Inc.	1	2	2	3	2	10	2
GAI Consultants, Inc.	3	3	3	2	3	14	3

INDIVIDUAL SCORING AND RANKING:

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	CDM Smith, Inc.	DRMP, Inc.	GAI Consultants, Inc.
A	40	40	40	40
В	25	20	20	20
С	25	24	25	22
D	10	9	9	10
TOTAL POINT VALUE	POINT 100		94	92
Bill Burns Ranking		2	1	3

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	CDM Smith, Inc.	DRMP, Inc.	GAI Consultants, Inc.
A	40	37	30	35
В	25	25	21	15
С	25	18	20	15
D	10	10	10	8
TOTAL POINT 100 VALUE		90	81	73
Julio Alamo Ranking		1	2	3

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	CDM Smith, Inc.	DRMP. Inc.		
A	40	38	35	30	
В	25	18	19	18	
С	25	19	20	18	
D	10	10	9	10	
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	85	83	76	
Peter Holzer		1	2	2	
Ranking		1	2	3	

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	CDM Smith, Inc.	DRMP, Inc.	GAI Consultants, Inc.
A	40	40 30		35
В	25	25	20	20
С	25	25	25	25
D	10	10	10	10
TOTAL POINT VALUE		100	85	90
Adam Walosik Ranking		1	3	2

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	CDM Smith, Inc.	DRMP, Inc.	GAI Consultants, Inc.
A	40	39	38	37
В	25	24	24	24
С	25	24	24	24
D	10	9	9	9
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100 96		95	94
Byron Raysor		1	2	3
Ranking		_	_	