



CITY OF ORLANDO

3rd ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

RFP16-0175

DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001_C

September 1, 2016

City Hall – 4th Floor, Tarpon Conference Room

8:00 a.m.

The Advisory Committee (Committee) for the above project convened on September 1, 2016, at **8:11** a.m. at the Tarpon Conference Room, City Hall. The purpose of this meeting is to discuss the Proposals and answer any questions from the Committee Members.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mike Carroll (Technical Chair)-SW Division Manager-Solid Waste
Jesus Roman, Accountant III-Business & Financial Services
Jonathan Ford, BFS- Fleet & Facilities
Frank Nunez, Lieutenant-Police Department
Howard Elkin, Asst. Division Manager-Public Works

PROCUREMENT & OTHER CITY REPRESENTATIVES:

Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II (Facilitator)
Teddi McCorkle, Senior Contract Administrator

Actions/Discussion/Motions:

Silvia Coste, Facilitator, introduced herself and took the following actions:

1. Called the meeting to order at **8:11** a.m.
2. Reminded everyone that the meeting is being recorded.
3. Had all Committee members and others present introduce themselves.
4. Advised the Committee that a quorum was established.
5. Announced that the meeting was publicly posted for more than 48 hours in advance.
6. Asked all attendees to sign the sign-in sheet.
7. Silvia Coste reminded everyone, that the public input procedures are still in effect. No public representatives were present.

DISCUSSION AND MOTIONS:

Mike mentioned that a copy of the August 19, 2016 2nd Committee Meeting Minutes has been handed out for review. A motion was made by Howard Elkin and seconded by Jesus Roman, to accept the August 19, 2016, 2nd Committee Meeting Minutes as written. Discussion ensued. The motion carried unanimously.

The Committee discussed the References received to date for all the Proposers.

A discussion ensued. Jesus Roman compiled D & B report summary; Jesus shared his analysis with the Committee. General discussion ensued.

Mike Carroll explained to the Committee the Price Proposal Form. Discussion ensued.

The Committee reviewed and discussed the Proposals. The Committee members individually scored and ranked the four responsive Proposers. The resulting ranking is as follows:

1. AshBritt, Inc. (Primary)
2. Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc. (Secondary)
3. DRC Emergency Services, LLC (Secondary)
4. Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated (Secondary)

The Committee recommended awarding to the Responders in ranked order, as follows: One primary and three equally distributed secondaries. A motion was made by Frank Nunez and seconded by Jonathan Ford. Discussion ensued. No member from the public present. The motion carried unanimously.

Teddi McCorkle reminded everyone that all the Committee rules are still in place. All questions and inquiries should go through Procurement until there is an executed contract.

Teddi McCorkle mentioned that the intent is to present to Council, at the next Council Meeting on September 15, 2016.

Mike Carroll adjourned the meeting at 10:50 a.m.

These minutes are considered to be the official minutes of the RFP16-0175 Advisory Committee Meeting held on September 1, 2016, and no other notes, tapes, or other recordings taken by anyone takes precedence.

Submitted by:

Reviewed by:

Reviewed and Accepted by:

Silvia Coste (Facilitator)
Purchasing Agent II
Procurement & Contracts Division

Teddi McCorkle, CPPB, C.P.M.
Sr. Contract Administrator
Procurement & Contracts Division

Mike Carroll (Chair)
SW Division Manager
Solid Waste Division

Attachment: Sign-in Sheet
References
Final Ranking Score sheets

CITY OF ORLANDO

Procurement and Contracts Division 3rd Committee Meeting Sign-In Sheet


Date: 9/1/16

Time: 8:00 a.m.

Bid Number: RFP16-0175

Location: City Hall at One City Commons, 400 South Orange Avenue, Tarpon Conference Room, 4th Floor, Orlando, Florida

Title: Debris Management and Removal Services




COMPANY NAME & ADDRESS DEPARTMENT/BUREAU	COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE (PLEASE PRINT LEGIBLE YOUR NAME & PROVIDE SIGNATURE)	EMAIL ADDRESS: <u>IMPORTANT</u> (DO NOT LEAVE IN BLANK)	TELEPHONE #	FAX #
Procurement & Contracts Div. 400 South Orange Avenue, 4 th Floor, City Hall Orlando, Florida 32801	Teddi McCorkle, Sr. Contract Admin. Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II <i>Teddi McCorkle, Sr. Contract Admin.</i>	<u>teddi.mccorkle@cityoforlando.net</u> <u>silvia.coste@cityoforlando.net</u> <u>Felvia.Henno@CityofOrlando.net</u>	(407) 246-2332 (407) 246-2216	(407) 246-2869
City of Orlando Orlando-Minority Business Enterprise Office	Dawn Chin Shue Contractor Compliance <i>Dawn Chin Shue</i>	<u>Dawn.chinshue@cityoforlando.net</u>	407-246-2408	
City of Orlando	Mike Carroll SW Division Manager <i>Mike Carroll</i>	<u>Mike.carroll@cityoforlando.net</u>	407-426-3050	
City of Orlando	Frank Nunez Lieutenant <i>Frank Nunez</i>	<u>Frank.Nunez@Cityoforlando.net</u>	321-303-0733	
City Of Orlando	Howard Elkin Asst. Division Manager <i>Howard Elkin</i>	<u>Howard.elkin@cityoforlando.net</u>	407-246-2289	

PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACTS DIVISION

CITY HALL • 400 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE • P. O. BOX 4990 • ORLANDO, FLORIDA 32802-4990
PHONE 407.246.2291 • FAX 407.246.2869 • CityofOrlando.net • esupplier.cityoforlando.net



CITY OF ORLANDO

Procurement and Contracts Division 3rd Committee Meeting Sign-In Sheet



Date: 9/1/16 **Time:** 8:00 a.m. **Bid Number:** RFP16-0175 **Location:** City Hall at One City Commons, 400 South Orange Avenue, Tarpon Conference Room, 4th Floor, Orlando, Florida

Title: Debris Management and Removal Services

COMPANY NAME & ADDRESS DEPARTMENT/BUREAU	COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE (PLEASE PRINT LEGIBLE YOUR NAME & PROVIDE SIGNATURE)	EMAIL ADDRESS: IMPORTANT (DO NOT LEAVE IN BLANK)	TELEPHONE #	FAX #
City of Orlando	Jonathan Ford Fleet Superintendent 	<u>Jonathan.ford@cityoforlando.net</u>	407-246-3863	
City Of Orlando	Jesus Roman Accountant III 	<u>Jesus.roman@cityoforlando.net</u>	407-246-4228	



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

Ocean County, NJ Hurricane Sandy
Ernie Kuhlwein
129 Hooper Ave
Toms River, NJ 08754

Dear Mr. Kuhlwein,

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which Ashbritt, Inc has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:

Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: <u>Sandy</u> Volume cyd <u>1,278,534</u> Event Name: _____ Volume cyd. _____		
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		

Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?		

Questionnaire completed by: Ernest J. Kuhlwein Jr., Director Date: 8-15-16
 (Name and Title)



Public Utilities Department
Solid & Hazardous Waste Management Division

Company Name: ASHBRIIT, INC.

Additional Comments:

The Board of County Commissioners approved AshBritt, Inc. (AshBritt), to provide disaster debris management and removal services for the 2016 hurricane season. AshBritt has been under contract with Collier County for eleven years.

Following Hurricane Wilma in 2005, AshBritt provided exceptional and professional post-disaster debris collection, removal, and disposal services. The county was divided into 58 work zones. Debris was collected and disposed of in full compliance with FEMA rules and regulations, qualifying Collier County for maximum reimbursement of federal and state emergency funds. The county's four temporary debris staging sites were reviewed and surveyed. A complete restoration of all four sites was done in a timely manner.

The collection and monitoring of approximately 1 million cubic yards of Hurricane Wilma-generated debris was completed in 45 days, with the debris staging sites cleared shortly thereafter. AshBritt provided an excellent FEMA liaison and worked effectively with FEMA representatives, operating within FEMA's guidelines to optimize the county's eligibility for reimbursement. AshBritt's cradle-to-grave contract price included stumps, collection, processing, and disposal. Following the project's final audit, Collier County received a reimbursement of \$24 million, with only \$1,000 disallowed due to rounding errors.

AshBritt also provided exceptional and professional debris collection and removal services following Tropical Storm Fay in 2008, collecting and disposing of an estimated 20,000 cubic yards of debris at an estimated cost of \$403,571.

AshBritt was deployed in January 2016, in response to a severe wind storm that hit Collier County during the pre-dawn hours January 17. AshBritt had skillful staff quickly mobilized for debris collection and removal, collecting and disposing of an estimated 44,032 cubic yards of debris at an estimated cost of \$465,152.





**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

Orange County FL-Hurricane Charley
Mark Massaro
400 S. John Young Parkway
Orlando, FL 32839

Dear Mr. Massaro,

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which Ashbritt, Inc has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: Hurricane Charley Volume cyd 426,862 (2004 Total) Event Name: _____ Volume cyd. _____		
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		

Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?		X

Questionnaire completed by: Mark Massaro, Director OCPW
 (Name and Title)

Date: 8/17/16



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

Augusta Georgia-Winter Strom Pax
Steve Cassell
530 Greene St.
Augusta, GA 30901

Dear Mr. Cassell,

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which Ashbritt, Inc has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:


Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: <u>Ice Storm Pax (February 2014)</u> Volume cyd <u>+/-800,000</u> Event Name: _____ Volume cyd. _____		
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>Ashbritt was able to put the entire operation into place in less than 48 hours and complete the debris removal in less than 6 weeks.</u>		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		

Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>N/A</u> _____		
Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?		

Questionnaire completed by:


 (Name and Title)

Date:

8/18/16



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

Collier County-Hurricane Wilma
Daniel Rodriguez
3299 Tamiami Trail
East Naples, FL 34112

Dear Mr. Rodriguez,

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which Ashbritt, Inc has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: <u>Hurricane Wilma</u> Volume cyd <u>One Million</u> Event Name: _____ Volume cyd. _____	Yes	
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		

<p>Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____ _____</p>		
<p>End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____ _____</p>		
<p>Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____ _____</p>		
<p>How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____ _____</p>		
<p>Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?</p>		
<p>Please refer to attachment for additional comments.</p>		

Questionnaire completed by: Daniel R. Rodriguez, MBA, CFM, Solid & Hazardous Waste Mgt. Director Date: 8-16-16
(Name and Title)



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

Baldwin County-Hurricane Ivan
Joey Nunnally
22070 HWY 59 Central Annex II 3rd & 4th Floor
Robertsdale, AL 36567

Dear Mr. Nunnally,


The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc., has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: <u>2014 Rain Event</u> Volume cyd <u>1100 cy</u> Event Name: <u>2005 Katrina</u> Volume cyd. <u>309,000 cy</u>		
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>quality and timeliness is great</u>		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>not sure what claim you are referring to, but this firm is very reputable</u>		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>we have never had any problems getting reimbursed</u>		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>very good & easy to work with</u>		

<p>Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>4 <input type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>a little burning but mostly chips, they did what ever we wanted them to do</u></p>		
<p>End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>were very minor problems</u></p>		
<p>Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____</p>		
<p>How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>They are very responsive</u></p>		
<p>Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?</p>		

Questionnaire completed by:  Const. Eng Date: 8/12/16
(Name and Title)



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

Orange County FL-Hurricane Frances
Ralphetta Aker
4200 S. John Young Parkway
Orlando, FL 32839

Dear Ms. Aker,

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc., has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: __Frances Volume cyd ___1,317,965.02 (Total 2004) Event Name: _____ Volume cyd. _____		
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		

Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?		X

Questionnaire completed by: Ralphetta G. Aker, Manager, OCPW
 (Name and Title)

Date: 8/17/16



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

Galveston County-Hurricane Ike
Garrett Foskit
722 Moody 5th Floor
Galveston County, TX 77550

Dear Mr. Foskit,

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc., has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: <u>Ike</u> Volume cyd <u>~3 Million</u> excluding the cities Event Name: _____ Volume cyd. _____		
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>They were as fast as FEMA and the monitors would allow them to go.</u>		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>They responded very promptly and addressed any issue that arose. This also included lawsuits.</u>		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>Their sub-contractors were conscientious of what they were doing in a very hazardous environment I don't recall any serious injury.</u>		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>We had ~\$100+ million debris cost and were only de-obligated ~\$70,000.</u>		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>Well organized and smoothly run operation meeting all local, state, and federal requirements.</u>		

<p>Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>As a coastal community with heavy industry we had many debris streams and disposal methods. They were very resourceful at finding uses for debris to minimize the use of landfills.</u></p>		
<p>End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>Galveston County did over 3000 non voluntary PPDRs. We were sued on 7, Crowder-Gulf funded all of the legal fees.</u></p>		
<p>Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>As one of two county employees whom worked on a daily basis with Crowder-Gulf for ~ 1year and seeing how they operate and treat their subcontractors I have recommended to my county that they continue to be our primary debris contractor.</u></p>		
<p>How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>Crowder-Gulf had its representatives in our EOC within 6 hours of a 2am landfall, and their trucks within 24hrs. The monitoring firm that needed to certify the trucks was the limiting factor.</u></p>		
<p>Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)? I would be happy to go into greater detail or answer additional questions. Office 281-309-5003 or Cell 409-682-4031 or email. Garret.foskit@co.galveston.tx.us</p>		

Questionnaire completed by: Garret Foskit, EMC Galveston County OEM Date: 8/12/16
(Name and Title)



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

Polk County FL-Hurricane Charley
Pete McNally
1890 Jimm Keene Blvd
Winter Haven, FL 33880

Dear Mr. McNally,

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc., has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: <u>Hurricanes (3) 2004</u> Volume cyd <u>1.8 million</u> Event Name: _____ Volume cyd. _____	X	
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		

<p>Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____ _____</p>		
<p>End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>No significant problem noted</u> _____</p>		
<p>Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____ _____</p>		
<p>How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>We have had three subsequent RFPs for a stand-by debris management contract, and CrowderGulf has been selected #1 each time. A great partner, in disasters and for training between events</u></p>		
<p>Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?</p>		

Questionnaire completed by: **Pete McNally, Emergency Management Director** Date: **8/18/16**
(Name and Title)



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

Rosana Pratt
City of Galveston
823 Rosenberg Street
Galveston TX, 77553

Dear Ms. Pratt

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which DRC Emergency Services, LLC has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: Hurricane Ike Volume cyd 1,301,656 Event Name: _____ Volume cyd. _____		
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		

Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?		

Questionnaire completed by: Rosana Pratt, Acting Emergency Management Coordinator Date: 08/15/2016
 (Name and Title)



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

Vic Ayres
City of Houston
611 Walker Street 12th Floor
Houston TX, 77002

Dear Ayres

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which DRC Emergency Services, LLC has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: <u>IKE</u> Volume cyd <u>5.6 MM CY</u> Event Name: <u>Tax Day 2016</u> Volume cyd. <u>220,000 CY</u>	✓	
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>excellent, fast and thorough.</u>	✓	
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>Handled all claims timely.</u>	✓	
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>NO ACCIDENTS</u>	✓	
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>We use a 3rd party vendor to scrub all federal information.</u>	✓	
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____	✓	

<p>Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____ _____</p>	✓	
<p>End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>None</u> _____</p>	✓	
<p>Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>good leader</u> _____</p>	✓	
<p>How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____ _____</p>	✓	
<p>Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?</p>	✓	

Questionnaire completed by: Harry Hayes, Director Date: 8/12/16
(Name and Title)



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

David Cook
South Carolina Department of Transportation
955 Park Street
Columbia SC, 29201

Dear David,

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which DRC Emergency Services, LLC. has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: <u>DR-4166</u> Volume cyd <u>1.4 Million</u> Event Name: _____ Volume cyd. _____		
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>Unauthorized burning at TDMS.</u>		

<p>Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>Did a very good job of identifying TDM sites where burning could be permitted.</u></p>		
<p>End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>Only issue was resolution to unauthorized burning at one site.</u></p>		
<p>Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____</p>		
<p>How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>Very quick response. Actually used to assist with initial roadway clearing.</u></p>		
<p>Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?</p>		

Questionnaire completed by: David Cook, State Maintenance Engr. Date: 8/18/16
(Name and Title)



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

Matt Tate
Tornado Super-Outbreak Debris Management
109 St Joseph Street
Mobile, AL 36628

Dear Matt

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which Phillips and Jordan Incorporated has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: <u>2011 Alabama Tornado</u> Volume cyd <u>5.6 M CYs</u> Event Name: _____ Volume cyd. _____		
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		

<p>Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____</p> <p>_____</p>		
<p>End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____</p> <p>_____</p>		
<p>Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____</p> <p>_____</p>		
<p>How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____</p> <p>_____</p>		
<p>Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?</p>		

Questionnaire completed by: TATE.JACOB.M.1230678371 Digitally signed by TATE.JACOB.M.1230678371
DN: cn=US, o=U.S. Government, ou=DOE, ou=PSL, ou=USA, cn=TATE.JACOB.M.1230678371
Date: 2013.08.11 17:03:09 -0500 Date: _____
(Name and Title)



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

John Archambo
Hurricanes Frances and Jeanne Debris Management
7501 N Jog Road
West Palm Beach FL, 33412

Dear John

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which Phillips and Jordan Incorporated has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Hurricanes <i>Frances</i> Event Name: <u>Jeanne</u> Volume cyd <u>Approximately 3 million</u> Hurricane <i>Wilma</i> Event Name: <u>Wilma</u> Volume cyd <u>Approximately 3 million</u>		
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>GREAT</u>		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>GREAT</u>		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>GREAT</u>		

<p>Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>GREAT</u></p>		
<p>End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>No problems!</u></p>		
<p>Relire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>We did contract again for services</u></p>		
<p>How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>Outstanding</u></p>		
<p>Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?</p>		

Questionnaire completed by: John Archambo, Director Date: 8/12/16
(Name and Title) customer relations



**CITY OF ORLANDO
PROCUREMENT AND CONTRACT DIVISION
VENDOR REFERENCE FORM**

Date August 12, 2016

David Cook
Ice Storm Debris Management
955 Park Street
Columbia, SC 29201

Dear David,

The City of Orlando has publicly solicited proposals regarding Debris Management and Removal Services in which Phillips and Jordan Incorporated has provided you as a reference. We would greatly appreciate your input in completing this Reference Questionnaire.

The Procurement and Contract Division requests return of the Questionnaire by 8/17/16 by 2:00 p.m. Please fax or email your completed Questionnaire to the attention of:

Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II, Silvia.Coste@cityoforlando.net or fax 407-446-2869.

Thank you for your time in assisting us with this feedback.

	Yes	No
Please indicate the volume of debris removed by the named firm: Event Name: <u>DR-4166</u> Volume cyd <u>225,000 CY</u> Event Name: _____ Volume cyd. _____		
Quality of Work (collection): How would you rate the quality of work for debris collection provided by the firm? On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how would you rate the quality and timeliness of service? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Damage: How would you rate the quality of dealing with damage claims? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
Safety How would you rate the safety practices of this firm? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____		
Documents for Federal Reimbursements: How would you rate the quality of documentation for federal reimbursement by this firm? FEMA: <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: _____ _____		
DMS: How would you rate the operation of the debris management site? <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Comments: <u>Had difficulty getting recycling revenue,</u>		

<p>Disposal: How would you rate the final disposal options for the event debris? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>Recycled almost all debris.</u></p>		
<p>End of Job Problems. How would you rate the resolution and handling of any problems encountered at the end of the contractors work for your jurisdiction? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>4 <input type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: <u>Only issue was timely receipt of recycling revenue.</u></p>		
<p>Rehire: On a scale from 1-5 with 5 being the highest, how likely is it that you would recommend hiring this company again? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____</p>		
<p>How would you rate the Contractors initial response to the disaster? <input type="checkbox"/>1 <input type="checkbox"/>2 <input type="checkbox"/>3 <input type="checkbox"/>4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>5 Comments: _____</p>		
<p>Would you prefer to be contacted by a representative of the City of Orlando to discuss the performance of the contractor (firm)?</p>		

Questionnaire completed by: David Cook State Maintenance Engr. Date: 8/18/16
(Name and Title)

Request for Proposals RFP16-0175
Debris Management Removal Services
Scoring / Rankings

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Mike Carroll	Frank Nunez	Jesus Roman	Howard Elkin	Jonathan Ford
--------------	-------------	-------------	--------------	---------------

CONSOLIDATED RANKING:

	Mike Carroll	Frank Nunez	Jesus Roman	Howard Elkin	Jonathan Ford	Total	Ranking
AshBritt, Inc.	1	3	2	1	2	9	1
Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.	3	2	3	2	1	11	2
DRC Emergency Services, LLC	2	1	1	3	4	11	2
Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated	4	3	4	4	2	17	4

INDIVIDUAL SCORING AND RANKING:

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	AshBritt, Inc.	Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.	DRC Emergency Services, LLC	Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated
A	20	18	19	19	20
B	10	10	9	9	9
C	30	29	28	28	27
D	40	40	38	39	34
E	0				
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	97	94	95	90
Mike Carroll					
Ranking		1	3	2	4

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	AshBritt, Inc.	Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.	DRC Emergency Services, LLC	Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated
A	20	18	16	16	19
B	10	6	9	7	8
C	30	24	27	29	28
D	40	39	37	38	32
E	0				
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	87	89	90	87
Frank Nunez					
Ranking		3	2	1	3

Request for Proposals RFP16-0175
Debris Management Removal Services
Scoring / Rankings

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	AshBritt, Inc.	Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.	DRC Emergency Services, LLC	Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated
A	20	16	17	20	20
B	10	10	10	8	10
C	30	30	30	30	30
D	40	40	38	40	28
E	0				
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	96	95	98	88
Jesus Roman		2	3	1	4
Ranking					

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	AshBritt, Inc.	Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.	DRC Emergency Services, LLC	Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated
A	20	17	19	19	18
B	10	10	10	7	8
C	30	29	28	26	28
D	40	40	36	38	34
E	0				
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	96	93	90	88
Howard Elkin		1	2	3	4
Ranking					

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	AshBritt, Inc.	Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.	DRC Emergency Services, LLC	Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated
A	20	13	13	16	20
B	10	10	10	10	10
C	30	27	25	20	25
D	40	20	25	20	15
E	0				
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	70	73	66	70
Jonathan Ford		2	1	4	2
Ranking					

TOTALS-->

Request for Proposals RFP16-0175
Debris Management Removal Services
Scoring / Rankings

AshBritt, Inc.	Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.	DRC Emergency Services, LLC	Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated
----------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---

97	94	95	90
----	----	----	----

87	89	90	87
----	----	----	----

Request for Proposals RFP16-0175
Debris Management Removal Services
Scoring / Rankings

96 95 98 88

96 93 90 88

70 73 66 70

446	444	439	423
AshBritt, Inc.	Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.	DRC Emergency Services, LLC	Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO.
SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Jonathan FordDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: AshBritt, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	13
B. Staff Experience	10	10
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	27
D. Price Proposal	40	20
TOTAL SCORE	100	70
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		2

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____



EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING

RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C

Committee Member: Jesus Roman


Date: September 1, 2016

Firm Name: AshBritt, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	16
B. Staff Experience	10	10
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	30
D. Price Proposal	40	40
TOTAL SCORE	100	96
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		2

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____




EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO.
SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Mike CarrollDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: AshBritt, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	18
B. Staff Experience	10	10
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	29
D. Price Proposal	40	40
TOTAL SCORE	100	97
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		1

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____



EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO.
SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Frank NunezDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: AshBritt, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	18
B. Staff Experience	10	6
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	24
D. Price Proposal	40	39
TOTAL SCORE	100	87
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		3

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____




EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO.
SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Howard ElkinDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: AshBritt, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	17
B. Staff Experience	10	10
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	29
D. Price Proposal	40	40
TOTAL SCORE	100	96
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		1

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____



EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING

RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C

Committee Member: Jonathan Ford

Date: September 1, 2016

Firm Name: Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	13
B. Staff Experience	10	10
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	25
D. Price Proposal	40	25
TOTAL SCORE	100	73
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		1

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature:  _____

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING

RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C

Committee Member: Jesus Roman


Date: September 1, 2016

Firm Name: Crowergulf Joint Venture, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	17
B. Staff Experience	10	10
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	30
D. Price Proposal	40	38
TOTAL SCORE	100	95
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		3

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____



EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING

RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C


Committee Member: Mike Carroll

Date: September 1, 2016

Firm Name: Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	19
B. Staff Experience	10	9
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	28
D. Price Proposal	40	38
TOTAL SCORE	100	94
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		3

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.


Committee Member Signature: 

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO.
SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Frank NunezDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	16
B. Staff Experience	10	9
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	27
D. Price Proposal	40	37
TOTAL SCORE	100	89
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		2

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____




EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO.
SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Howard ElkinDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: Crowdergulf Joint Venture, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	19
B. Staff Experience	10	10
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	28
D. Price Proposal	40	36
TOTAL SCORE	100	93
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		2

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____



EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING

RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C


Committee Member: Jonathan Ford

Date: September 1, 2016

Firm Name: DRC Emergency Services, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	16
B. Staff Experience	10	10
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	20
D. Price Proposal	40	20
TOTAL SCORE	100	66
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		4

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.


Committee Member Signature: 

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Jesus RomanDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: DRC Emergency Services, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	20
B. Staff Experience	10	8
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	30
D. Price Proposal	40	40
TOTAL SCORE	100	98
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		1

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____




EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Mike CarrollDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: DRC Emergency Services, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	19
B. Staff Experience	10	9
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	28
D. Price Proposal	40	39
TOTAL SCORE	100	95
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		2

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____




EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Frank NunezDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: DRC Emergency Services, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	16
B. Staff Experience	10	7
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	29
D. Price Proposal	40	38
TOTAL SCORE	100	90
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		1

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____




EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO.
SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Howard ElkinDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: DRC Emergency Services, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	19
B. Staff Experience	10	7
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	26
D. Price Proposal	40	38
TOTAL SCORE	100	90
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		3

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____



EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING

RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C


Committee Member: Jonathan Ford

Date: September 1, 2016

Firm Name: Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	20
B. Staff Experience	10	10
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	25
D. Price Proposal	40	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	70
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		2

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: 

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Jesus RomanDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: **Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated**

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	20
B. Staff Experience	10	10
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	30
D. Price Proposal	40	28
TOTAL SCORE	100	88
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		4

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____



EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING

RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C


Committee Member: Mike Carroll

Date: September 1, 2016

Firm Name: **Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated**

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	20
B. Staff Experience	10	9
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	27
D. Price Proposal	40	34
TOTAL SCORE	100	90
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		4

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: 

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING

RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO. SWM0001 C


Committee Member: Frank Nunez

Date: September 1, 2016

Firm Name: **Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated**

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	19
B. Staff Experience	10	8
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	28
D. Price Proposal	40	32
TOTAL SCORE	100	87
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		3

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: 

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR FINAL RANKING**RFP16-0175, DEBRIS MANAGEMENT AND REMOVAL SERVICES, PROJECT NO.
SWM0001 C**Committee Member: Howard ElkinDate: September 1, 2016Firm Name: **Phillips and Jordan, Incorporated**

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Reference, Proposers Experience, Financial Stability	20	18
B. Staff Experience	10	8
C. Debris Management and Removal Operational Plan	30	28
D. Price Proposal	40	34
TOTAL SCORE	100	88
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * (#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)		4

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Committee Member Signature: _____

