CITY OF ORLANDO

2nd ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
RFP16-0008
PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT AND ROW SURVEY SHORT-LISTING
May 20, 2016
City Hall – 4th Floor, Tarpon Conference Room
2:00 p.m.

The Advisory Committee (Committee) for the above project convened on May 20, 2016, at **2:03** pm at the Tarpon Conference Room, City Hall. The purpose of this meeting was to short-list from the Eight (8) proposals received.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:
Russell Owen Blakely III (Chair)
Richard Howard, Public Works Director
Christopher McCullion, Deputy Chief Financial Officer
Amanda Furman, Senior GIS Programmer
Charles Ramdatt, Public Works Deputy Director

TECHNICAL ADVISOR:
Lisa Henry, Streets and Stormwater Division Manager

PROCUREMENT& OTHER CITY REPRESENTATIVES:
Teddi McCorkle, Senior Contract Administrator
Silvia Coste, Purchasing Agent II (Facilitator)
Dawn Chin Shue, Contract Compliance, Minority Business Enterprise Office

Actions/Discussion/Motions:

Teddi McCorkle, Facilitator, introduced herself and took the following actions:

1. Called the meeting to order at **2:03** p.m.
2. Reminded everyone that the meeting is being recorded.
3. Had all Committee members and others present introduce themselves.
4. Advised the Committee that a quorum was established.
5. Announced that the meeting was publicly posted for more than 48 hours in advance.
6. Asked all attendees to sign the sign-in sheet.
7. Teddi McCorke reminded everyone, that the public input procedures are still in effect. No public representatives were present.

DISCUSSION AND MOTIONS:

References received to date were sent to the Committee.

Teddi McCorkle mentioned that a copy of the May 13, 2016 Committee Minutes were sent to the Committee for review. A motion was made by **Christopher McCullion** and seconded by **Amanda Furman**, to accept the May 13, 2016 Meeting Minutes as written. Discussion ensued. The motion carried unanimously.

Dawn Chin Shue reported the findings of the MWBE participation as listed in the Proposals (report attached).

Teddi McCorkle reported the Veterans Preference Plans that were submitted by the Proposers (report attached.

The Committee reviewed and discussed the Proposals. Additionally, they determined presentations were needed by the shortlisted Proposers. The Committee members individually scored and ranked the eight responsive Proposers. The resulting ranking is as follows:

1. Data Transfer Solutions, LLC
2. IMS Infrastructure Management Services, LLC
3. MDS Technologies, Inc.
4. Fugro Roadware
5. Transmap Corporation
6. Applied Research Association Inc.
7. Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation
8. Dynatest North America, Inc.

A motion was made by **Richard Howard**, and seconded by **Chris McCullion**, to invite the top ranked three (3) for presentations:

1. Data Transfer Solutions, LLC
2. IMS Infrastructure Services, LLC
3. MDS Technologies, Inc.

No Members from the Public were present. Discussion Ensued. The motion carried unanimously.

The Committee requested that the Proposers focus their presentation on the specific sections of their Proposal. The Committee requested that **Russell Owen Blakely III** and **Teddi McCorkle** finalize the suggested questions/subject matters recommendations made by the Committee in a general discussion. The motion was made by **Richard Howard** and second by **Chris McCullion**. Motion carried unanimously.

The Facilitator will advise each presenter that they would be given 45 minutes total; thirty (30) minutes for introductions, presentation/clarifications, and (15) minutes for the question and answer period.

A fifteen minute break will be taken in between presentation.

Teddi McCorkle will notify the proposers of their presentation date and time, and referenced questions to be discussed during their presentation time allotment.

The Committee elected not to request D & B Reports from the top three (3) responders.

The next Committee Meeting and Presentations are scheduled for June 1, 2016, beginning at 9:00 a.m. in the Agenda and then the Veterans Conference Room (2nd Floor, City Hall), alternating between both rooms.

Ms. McCorkle reminded everyone that all the Committee rules are still in place. All questions and inquiries should go through Procurement until there is an executed contract.

Russell Owen Blakely III adjourned the meeting at **4:20** pm.

These minutes are considered to be the official minutes of the RFP16-0008 Advisory Committee Meeting held on May 20, 2016, and no other notes, tapes, or other recordings taken by anyone takes precedence.

Submitted by:

Teddi McCorkle
City of Orlando
Senior Contract Administrator

Reviewed and Accepted by:

Russell Owen Blakely III (Chair)
City of Orlando
Project Manager II

Attachment: Sign-in Sheet
References
MWBE Report
VBE Report
Short Listed Scoring Sheets

REFERENCE VBE FOR RFP16-0008 PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT AND ROW SURVEY

REFERENCES RECEIVED FOR RFP16-0008 PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT AND ROW SURVEY				VBE Participation
Applied Research Association REFERENCE RECEIVED	Cape Coral FL Left Messaage 5/19/16	FL Delt. Transportation YES	Metropolitan Nashville YES	0
Data Transfer Solutions, LLC REFERENCE RECEIVED	City of Houston Texas YES	Cityof West Palm Beach Left Message 5/19/16	City of Lakeland YES	2
Dynatest North America, Inc. REFERENCE RECEIVED	City of Alexandria YES	City of Indianapolis YES	Prince George's County YES	0
Fugro Roadware REFERENCE RECEIVED	City of Irving Texas Left Message 5/19/16	Louisiana DOTD YES	Pennsyvania DOT Left Message 5/19/16	0
IMS Infrastructure Management REFERENCE RECEIVED	City of Keywest YES	Monroe County FL Left Message 5/19/16	City of Sanford FL YES	0
MDS Technologies REFERENCE RECEIVED	City of Boulder Left Message 5/19/16	City of Boulder 2 YES	York County YES	0
Southeastern Surveying & Mapping REFERENCE RECEIVED	Altamonte Spring YES	OC Public School Left Message 5/19/16	Semiole County YES	2
Transmap Corporation REFERENCE RECEIVED	Manatee county YES	Osceola County YES	Deray Beach YES	0

MINORITY BUSINESS ENTERPRISE

PROJECT: Pavement Management and ROW Survey
RFP16-0008

MBE GOAL: 18% WBE GOAL: 6%

PURCHASING AGENT: Teddi McCorkle
DATE: May 20, 2016

The following are **8** the firms that submitted RFP.

AS LISTED IN PROPOSALS

1) Applied Research Association, Inc.

Subconsultants	MBE/WBE	%	Scope of Work
AVCON Inc.	MBE	18%	Assist in Data Collection
TOTAL MBE PARTICIPATION		**18%**	
Montgomery Consulting Group, Inc.	WBE	6%	Assist in Data Collection
TOTAL WBE PARTICIPATION		**6%**	

Remarks: M/WBE PARTICIPATION PLAN SUBMITTED

2) Data Transfer Solutions, LLC

Subconsultants	MBE/WBE	%	Scope of Work
TOTAL MBE PARTICIPATION		**0%**	
Apex Engineering Incorporated	WBE	12%	Quality Assurance / Quality Control
TOTAL WBE PARTICIPATION		**12%**	

Remarks: M/WBE PARTICIPATION PLAN SUBMITTED
MBE Goal not met

3) Dynatest North America, Inc.

Subconsultants	MBE/WBE	%	Scope of Work
TOTAL MBE PARTICIPATION		**0%**	
TOTAL WBE PARTICIPATION		**0%**	

Remarks: M/WBE PARTICIPATION PLAN **NOT** SUBMITTED
Note: Listed Urban GIS and Transcend Spatial Solutions as a MBE and WBE Firm respectively, however, they are both not certified with the City of Orlando.

4) Fugro Roadware

Subconsultants	MBE/WBE	%	Scope of Work
TOTAL MBE PARTICIPATION		**0%**	
Montgomery Consulting Group, Inc.	WBE	5%	GIS Mapping and Project Plan Review
TOTAL WBE PARTICIPATION		**5%**	

Remarks: M/WBE PARTICIPATION PLAN SUBMITTED
MBE goal not met
WBE goal not met

5) IMS Infrastructure Management Services, LLC

Subconsultants	MBE/WBE	%	Scope of Work
TOTAL MBE PARTICIPATION		**0%**	
TOTAL WBE PARTICIPATION		**0%**	

Remarks: M/WBE PARTICIPATION PLAN **NOT** SUBMITTED

AS LISTED IN PROPOSALS

6) MDS Technologies, Inc.

Subconsultants	MBE/WBE	%	Scope of Work
TOTAL MBE PARTICIPATION		**0%**	
TOTAL WBE PARTICIPATION		**0%**	

Remarks: M/WBE PARTICIPATION PLAN **NOT** SUBMITTED

7) Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation

Subconsultants	MBE/WBE	%	Scope of Work
AVCON, Inc.	MBE	18%	Field Verification and Pavement Inspection of Brick Streets
TOTAL MBE PARTICIPATION		**18%**	
Horizon GEO Services, Inc.	WBE	6%	Traffic Sign Inventory and Identification of Pavement Sample Locations
TOTAL WBE PARTICIPATION		**6%**	

Remarks: M/WBE PARTICIPATION PLAN SUBMITTED

8) Transmap Corporation

Subconsultants	MBE/WBE	%	Scope of Work
Nadini Printing & Copying	MBE	2%	Off-set printing, duplicating, typesetting and copying
TOTAL MBE PARTICIPATION		**2%**	
TOTAL WBE PARTICIPATION		**0%**	

Remarks: M/WBE PARTICIPATION PLAN SUBMITTED
MBE goal not met
WBE goal not met

AS LISTED IN PROPOSALS

*** This is a summary of all the MWBE Participation Plans as was submitted in the proposal. This is not the confirmed MWBE Participation Plan.**

AS LISTED IN PROPOSALS

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: _____ Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Applied Research Association, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Operating Plan	38	28
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	~~23~~ 24
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	5
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	16
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	~~70~~ 73
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		5

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: R. Howard Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Applied Research Association, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Operating Plan	38	28
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	25
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	5
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	0
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	58
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		7

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Owen Blakely Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Applied Research Association, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Operating Plan	38	34
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	5
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	9
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	78
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		#6

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: C. McCullion Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Applied Research Association, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Operating Plan	38	35
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	5
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	12
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	82
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		5

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Charles Ramdatt Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Applied Research Association, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Operating Plan	38	35
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	27
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	5
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	10
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	77
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		6

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Amanda Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Data Transfer Solutions, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
B. Operating Plan	38	33
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	26
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	4
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	2
E. Price Proposal	25	23
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	88
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		1

ALP

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: R. Howard Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Data Transfer Solutions, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
B. Operating Plan	38	32
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	27
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	3
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	2
E. Price Proposal	25	22
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	86
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		1

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

R. Howard

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Owen Blakely Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Data Transfer Solutions, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
B. Operating Plan	38	37
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	3
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	2
E. Price Proposal	25	23
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	95
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		#1

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: C. McCullion Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Data Transfer Solutions, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
B. Operating Plan	38	38
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	3
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	2
E. Price Proposal	25	23
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	96
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		1

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Charles Ramdatt Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Data Transfer Solutions, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
B. Operating Plan	38	30
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	28
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	3
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	2
E. Price Proposal	25	24
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	87
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		1

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: _____ Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Dynatest North America, Inc

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
C. Operating Plan	38	31
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	27
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	72
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		6

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: R. Howard Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Dynatest North America, Inc

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
C. Operating Plan	38	25
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	25
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	5
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	55
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		8

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Owen Blakely Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Dynatest North America, Inc

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
C. Operating Plan	38	38
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	7
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	75
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		#8

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: C. McCullion Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Dynatest North America, Inc

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
C. Operating Plan	38	30
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	10
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	70
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		8

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Charles Ramdatt Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Dynatest North America, Inc

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
C. Operating Plan	38	36
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	20
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	12
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	68
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		8

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: A M Anderson Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Fugro Roadware

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
D. Operating Plan	38	26
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	22
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	1
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	19
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	68
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		8

AMF

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: R. Howard Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Fugro Roadware

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
D. Operating Plan	38	27
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	25
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	2
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	21
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	75
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		4

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Owen Blakely Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Fugro Roadware

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
D. Operating Plan	38	38
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	3
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	21
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	92
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		#3

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: C. McCallion Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Fugro Roadware

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
D. Operating Plan	38	38
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	2
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	21
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	91
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		2

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Charles Ramdatt Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Fugro Roadware

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
D. Operating Plan	38	30
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	24
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	1
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	23
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	78
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		5

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Amanda Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: IMS Infrastructure Management Services, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
E. Operating Plan	38	35
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	26
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	23
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	84
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		2

ALP

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: R. Howard Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: IMS Infrastructure Management Services, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
E. Operating Plan	38	35
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	27
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	20
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	82
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		2

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Owen Blakely Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: IMS Infrastructure Management Services, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
E. Operating Plan	38	34
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	19
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	83
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		# 5

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: C. McCullin Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: IMS Infrastructure Management Services, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
E. Operating Plan	38	35
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	20
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	85
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		4

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Charles Ramdatt Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: IMS Infrastructure Management Services, LLC

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
E. Operating Plan	38	33
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	27
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	23
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	83
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		2

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Amanda Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: MDS Technologies, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
F. Operating Plan	38	25
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	26
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	19
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	70
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		7 ~~8~~

AF

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Owen Blakely Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: MDS Technologies, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
F. Operating Plan	38	38
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	25
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	93
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		# 2

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: R. Howard Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: MDS Technologies, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
F. Operating Plan	38	30
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	26
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	24
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	80
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		3

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: C. McCullion Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: MDS Technologies, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
F. Operating Plan	38	35
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	25
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	90
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		3

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Charles Ramdatt Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: MDS Technologies, Inc.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
F. Operating Plan	38	28
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	29
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	0
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	25
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	82
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		3

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

Amlatr

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: _____ Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
G. Operating Plan	38	35
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	28
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	5
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	2
E. Price Proposal	25	8
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	78
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		4

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: R. Howard Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
G. Operating Plan	38	35
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	25
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	5
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	2
E. Price Proposal	25	0
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	67
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		5

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

R. Howard

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Owen Blakely Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
G. Operating Plan	38	38
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	5
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	2
E. Price Proposal	25	2
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	77
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		#7

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: C. McCullin Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
G. Operating Plan	38	38
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	5
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	2
E. Price Proposal	25	5
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	80
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		7

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Charles Ramdatt Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
G. Operating Plan	38	36
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	29
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	5
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	2
E. Price Proposal	25	5
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	77
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		6

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: _____ Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Transmap Corporation

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
H. Operating Plan	38	33
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	26
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	1
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	22
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	82
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		3

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: R. Howard Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Transmap Corporation

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
H. Operating Plan	38	22
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	25
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	1
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	18
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	66
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		6

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Owen Blakely Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Transmap Corporation

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
H. Operating Plan	38	36
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	3
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	15
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	84
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		#4

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: C. McCullion Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Transmap Corporation

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
H. Operating Plan	38	33
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	30
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	1
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	17
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	81
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		6

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

RFP16-0008, PAVEMENT MANAGEMENTS AND ROW SURVEY

Committee Member: Charles Ramdatt Date: May 20, 2016

Firm Name: Transmap Corporation

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
H. Operating Plan	38	28
B. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	30	29
C. Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprise – The degree of participation by City Certified or recognized M/WBE in subcontractors	5	1
D. Veteran Business Enterprise participation in subcontracts	2	0
E. Price Proposal	25	22
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	80
RANKING BASED ON ABOVE POINTS * **(#1 reflects the highest point value; #2 is the next highest point value; etc.)**		4

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors to determine the short-listing of the Respondents. Each member will assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.