1st ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES RQS15-0152

Request for Qualification Statements for Continuing Professional Surveying and Mapping Services March 11, 2015 – 7:30 a.m. Agenda Conference Rooms (2th Floor) City Hall, 400 S. Orange Ave., Orlando, FL

First Meeting of the Advisory Committee to review and evaluate responsive qualification statements submitted in response to the subject solicitation.

Committee Members Present:

Richard Allen, P.S.M., Survey Project Coordinator, CIID, PW (Chair)
James D. Hunt, P.E., Deputy Director of PW-City Engineer
Lisa Henry, Division Manager, Streets and Stormwater Division, PW
Richard H. Lauritsen, Computer Cartographer Senior, Technology Management Div, BFS
Rene Carcamo, Compliance Investigator III, Executive Offices/MWBE Office

Other City Personnel Present:

Roger Cooper, Contract Administrator (Facilitator)

Members of the Public Present:

None

Actions/Discussion/Motions:

The meeting was called to order at 7:36 a.m., and the Facilitator took the following actions:

- 1) Introduced himself and asked all in attendance to introduce themselves.
- 2) Advised that Committee was approved and ethics forms were received.
- 3) Advised the Committee that a quorum was established.
- 4) Announced that the meeting was publicly posted for more than 48 hours in advance.
- 5) Facilitator reviewed Advisory Committee Rules
- 6) Reviewed Public Input Procedures

A motion was made by <u>James Hunt</u>, and seconded by <u>Richard Allen</u>, to accept the Public Input Procedures. The motion carried unanimously.

The Facilitator indicated that eight (8) sealed qualification statements were submitted in response to the solicitation and that all firms had been certified as qualified by the Consultants' Qualifications Board on March 3, 2015. Those firms are as follows:

- 1) Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.
- 2) Cardno, Inc.
- 3) CPH, Inc.
- 4) DRMP, Inc.
- 5) Lochrane Engineering, Inc.
- 6) PEC-Surveying and Mapping LLC
- 7) Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation
- 8) Wantman Group, Inc.

The Facilitator handed out the pre-determined scores for Rating Factor C (Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work), Rating Factor F

(Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project), and **Rating Factor G** (Volume of Previous Work Awarded to Each Respondent by the City). The first of these three Rankings was completed by the MBE Office, and the last two were computed by the Procurement and Contracts Division in accordance with solicitation instructions.

Committee Members were advised that Qualification Statements must be independently scored by each Member; that Committee Members should not indicate what score he/she gives to a particular firm; and that Committee Members must not attempt to influence other Committee Members in their scoring.

At this point, the meeting was turned over to the technical Chair, who conducted discussions with the Committee. At the end of discussions, each Committee member individually scored and ranked each firm -- which resulted in a consolidated ranking as follows:

- 1) Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation
- 2) Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.
- 3) PEC-Surveying and Mapping, LLC
- 4) Lochrane Engineering, Inc.
- 5) Cardno, Inc.
- 6) Wantman Group, Inc.
- 7) DRMP, Inc.
- 8) CPH, Inc.

A motion was made by <u>James Hunt</u>, and seconded by <u>Lisa Henry</u>, to invite a small core group from the top <u>four (4)</u> firms for presentations. No Members from the Public were present. The motion carried unanimously.

<u>Lisa Henry</u> made a motion, seconded by <u>Rene Carcamo</u>, to allow up to <u>thirty (30)</u> minutes for each presentation and up to fifteen <u>(15)</u> minutes for a question-and-answer period with each firm, with <u>fifteen (15)</u> minute-breaks in between sessions. The motion carried unanimously.

Presentations are scheduled for March 25, 2015, beginning at 8:00 a.m. in the Tarpon Conference Room (4th Floor) and alternating between Tarpon Conference Room and Veterans Conference Room (2nd Floor) of City Hall.

A motion was made by <u>Richard Allen</u>, and seconded by <u>James Hunt</u> to adjourn at <u>10:32 a.m.</u> The motion carried unanimously.

These minutes are considered to be the official minutes of the RQS15-0152 Advisory Committee Meeting held on March 11, 2015, and no other notes, tapes, or other recordings taken by anyone takes precedence.

Submitted by:

Coope, CPPO, C.P.M. (Facilitator)

Contract Administrator

Reviewed by:

Teddi McCorkle, CPPB, C.P.M.

Sr. Contract Administrator

Reviewed and Accepted by:

Richard Allen, P.S.M. (Chair) Survey Project Coordinator

Public Works Department

MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 30, 2013

TO: Procurement and Contracts Division Staff

FROM: David Billingsley, CPSM, C.P.M., Chief Procurement Officer

SUBJECT: Public Input

The Florida Legislature recently enacted a new state law, s. 286.1114, which requires that all local government boards and committees that are subject to the sunshine law provide an opportunity for reasonable public input prior to taking official action on any item (with the exception of administrative items such as approval of minutes and quasijudicial proceedings). Such comment must be allowed at the meeting where the board or committee takes action on the item or at a meeting in reasonable proximity to that date. Boards and Committees may adopt rules or policies governing the public input.

Procurement Advisory Committees are affected by this statue since they are sunshine committees and are making an award recommendation to City Council. Procurement Advisory Committees must adopt procedures for all meetings after October 1, 2013.

The statute provides that each committee can provide for its own implementation rules. As such, Procurement Advisory Committees should make a motion at the first meeting to follow these rules. For a particular procurement, the committee may modify or amend the procedures applicable to that solicitation. For example, if the procurement has a large public interest, the committee could establish longer comment periods.

Attached are recommended procedures for public input during Procurement Advisory Committees meetings.

Public Input Procedures For Procurement Advisory Committees

- A. After each motion (and a second) but before committee discussion on all non-ministerial motions, public comment will be permitted. Ministerial motions would be those that are not substantive actions, including most procedural motions, motions to approve minutes, and motions to adjourn.
- B. Each speaker is limited to 5 minutes. The Committee Chairperson may grant more time to a speaker, provided that if any other committee member objects to the granting of more time, the committee as a whole will vote on the extension.
- C. Public comment is limited to 30 minutes per motion.
- D. Groups are to be asked (not required) to appoint a spokesperson to avoid redundancy and stay within allotted time periods.
- E. If there are more speakers than would allow each to get their full 5 minutes, time periods will be reduced proportionally to not less than 1 minute per speaker unless the committee votes to extend the comment period. If there are more speakers than minutes in the comment period, by act of the Chairperson without objection from a member of the committee, or after a committee vote if there is an objection, the maximum comment period may be extended. As a practical matter, committees should try to extend the time where possible to allow everyone a chance to speak. If this is not possible due to time constraints or number of requests, comments should be taken in random order from all those requesting to speak until time expires.
- F. Each person addressing the committee should give their name and address for the record (minutes). Per the statute, a form asking to speak can be used (which may help with drafting the minutes and establishing priority to speak).
- G. Remarks should be addressed to the committee as a whole, not to individual members of the committee. This is not a question and answer period. The public may comment on the issues before the committee, but the committee is not required to respond to questions.
- H. Minutes should reflect that public comment was solicited even where no public comment was given, i.e. "The chairperson asked if there was anyone from the public who would like to speak, but no requests were received" or similar words should appear in the minutes.

RQS15-0152 Continuing Professional Surveying and Mapping Services Pre-determined Scores for MWBE Participation, Proximity, and Prior Work \$

Consultant Name	MBE Office Announced Scores for MWBE Participation (C)	Proximity Score (F)	Prior Dollars Score (G)
Amas Foster Wheeler Freitrannentel 9 Infrastructure Inc	13.38	4	4
Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.	13.38	4	4
Cardno, Inc.	9	2	5
CPH, Inc.	9	4	0
DRMP, Inc.	9	4	0
Lochrane Engineering, Inc.	11.95	4	3
PEC-Surveying and Mapping LLC	14	2	3
Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation	13.66	3	0
Wantman Group, Inc.	13.61	1	0

COMMITTEE MEMBERS:

Richard	Jim Hunt	i		Rene
Allen	Jim Hunt	Lisa nenry	Lauritsen	Carcamo

CONSOLIDATED RANKING (from Individual Rankings):

	Richard Allen	Jim Hunt	Lisa Henry	Dichard H	Rene Carcamo	Total	Ranking
Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.	1	2	2	3	1	9	1
Cardno, Inc.	5	6	5	7	6	29	5
CPH, Inc.	8	7	8	8	7	38	8
DRMP, Inc.	7	5	7	6	8	33	7
Lochrane Engineering, Inc.	4	4	3	2	3	16	3
PEC-Surveying and Mapping, LLC	3	3	4	4	2	16	3
Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation	2	1	1	1	4	9	1
Wantman Group, Inc.	6	8	6	5	5	30	6

FINAL RANKING:

COMPANY	RANKING
Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation	1
Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.	2
PEC-Surveying and Mapping, LLC	3
Lochrane Engineering, Inc.	4
Cardno, Inc.	5
Wantman Group, Inc.	6
DRMP, Inc.	7
CPH, Inc.	8

TIE BREAKER ANALYSIS:

		Since there is a tie between two firms for the #1 position, the total scores for these same firms are compared below in order to break this tie:								
	Richard Allen	Jim Hunt	Lisa Henry	Richard H. Lauritsen	Rene Carcamo	Total	Ranking			
Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.	92.38	89.38	90.38	74.38	96.38	442.9	2			
Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation	91.66	91.66	90.66	85.66	91.66	451.3	1			

TIE BREAKER ANALYSIS:

		Since there is a tie between two firms for the #3 position, the total scores for these same firms are compared below in order to break this tie:								
	Richard Allen	Jim Hunt Lisa Henry Richard H. Rene Lauritsen Carcamo Total Ranking								
Lochrane Engineering, Inc.	84.95	81.95	84.95	75.95	93.95	421.75	4			
PEC-Surveying and Mapping, LLC	89	88	84	72	94	427	3			

INDIVIDUAL SCORING / RANKING:

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.	Cardno, Inc.	CPH, Inc.	DRMP, Inc.	Lochrane Engineering, Inc.	PEC- Surveying and Mapping, LLC	Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation	Wantman Group, Inc.
A	30	29	25	26	25	27	28	30	26
В	20	19	19	14	15	18	20	20	16

C	16	13.38	9	9	9	11.95	14	13.66	13.61
D	15	15	15	13	12	13	14	15	12
Е	10	8	6	6	8	8	8	10	7
F	4	4	2	4	4	4	2	3	1
G	5	4	5	0	0	3	3	0	0
Н									
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	92.38	81	72	73	84.95	89	91.66	75.61
Richard Allen Ran		1	5	8	7	4	3	2	6

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.	Cardno, Inc.	CPH, Inc.	DRMP, Inc.	Lochrane Engineering, Inc.	PEC- Surveying and Mapping, LLC	Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation	Wantman Group, Inc.
A	30	28	23	22	22	25	27	30	17
В	20	18	14	14	16	16	18	20	13
С	16	13.38	9	9	9	11.95	14	13.66	13.61
D	15	14	11	11	13	14	14	15	9
Е	10	8	7	6	9	8	10	10	5
F	4	4	2	4	4	4	2	3	1
G	5	4	5	0	0	3	3	0	0
Н									
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	89.38	71	66	73	81.95	88	91.66	58.61
Jim Hunt Ran	king	2	6	7	5	4	3	1	8

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.	Cardno, Inc.	CPH, Inc.	DRMP, Inc.	Lochrane Engineering, Inc.	PEC- Surveying and Mapping, LLC	Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation	Wantman Group, Inc.
A	30	28	28	25	20	27	27	30	25
В	20	19	17	5	12	17	17	19	7

С	16	13.38	9	9	9	11.95	14	13.66	13.61
D	15	14	10	10	10	14	13	15	12
Е	10	8	5	5	6	8	8	10	3
F	4	4	2	4	4	4	2	3	1
G	5	4	5	0	0	3	3	0	0
Н									
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	90.38	76	58	61	84.95	84	90.66	61.61
Lisa Henry Ran	king	2	5	8	7	3	4	1	6

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.	Cardno, Inc.	CPH, Inc.	DRMP, Inc.	Lochrane Engineering, Inc.	PEC- Surveying and Mapping, LLC	Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation	Wantman Group, Inc.
A	30	28	20	20	25	25	25	30	25
В	20	10	10	10	10	14	15	15	10
С	16	13.38	9	9	9	11.95	14	13.66	13.61
D	15	10	10	10	10	10	8	14	8
Е	10	5	5	5	5	8	5	10	8
F	4	4	2	4	4	4	2	3	1
G	5	4	5	0	0	3	3	0	0
Н									
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	74.38	61	58	63	75.95	72	85.66	65.61
Richard H. La Ran	uritsen king	3	7	8	6	2	4	1	5

1	NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	Amec Foster Wheeler Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.	Cardno, Inc.	CPH, Inc.	DRMP, Inc.	Lochrane Engineering, Inc.	PEC- Surveying and Mapping, LLC	Southeastern Surveying and Mapping Corporation	Wantman Group, Inc.
	A	30	30	29	29	26	30	30	30	28

В	20	20	20	19	18	20	20	20	19
С	16	13.38	9	9	9	11.95	14	13.66	13.61
D	15	15	13	14	15	15	15	15	15
Е	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	10
F	4	4	2	4	4	4	2	3	1
G	5	4	5	0	0	3	3	0	0
Н									
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	96.38	86	85	82	93.95	94	91.66	86.61
Rene Carcamo Ranking		1	6	7	8	3	2	4	5