### SERVICES AUTHORIZATION #I ENGINEERING SERVICES AGREEMENT

	THIS	SERVIC	ES AU	THORIZ	ZATION	is mad	e and er	ntered	linto	this		day of
			, 2	0, b	y and be	tween	the City	of O	rland	o, Florid	la, a mu	nicipal
corpo	ration ex	xisting u	nder th	e laws o	f the Sta	ite of Fl	lorida (C	ITY)	, and <b>\</b>	Wantma	n Grouj	, Inc.,
doing	busines	s locally	y at 21	3 South	Dillard	Street,	Suite 2	10, V	Vinter	Garden,	Florida	34787
(ENĞ	INEER)	). `										

WHEREAS, the CITY and the ENGINEER have previously entered into an agreement for the ENGINEER's professional services (Agreement) on August 7, 2013 concerning the Sligh Boulevard Project (Project); and

WHEREAS, the Agreement was approved and authorized by the City Council and signed by the Mayor Pro Tem and City Clerk, as Documentary #130805B14; and

WHEREAS, the CITY and the ENGINEER wish to amend the Agreement as set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, the CITY and the ENGINEER now wish to memorialize their understanding for the ENGINEER's additional professional services for the Project.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants contained herein and given one to the other, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

### I. SCOPE OF SERVICES

The scope of services has been agreed to by the parties, and is attached hereto and incorporated herein, by reference, as EXHIBIT I.

### II. <u>FEE</u>

A total not-to-exceed fee of \$197,233.57 has been agreed to by the parties, and is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference, as EXHIBIT II. Notwithstanding the preceding, activity 7A only in the amount of \$44,500 plus a contingency of \$5,000 (if exercised) shown on EXHIBIT II, shall be paid on a lump sum basis.

### III. TERM

ENGINEER shall complete all work in accordance with the timeframes set forth in the scope of work, if any, provided, however, that all work and the term of the Services Authorization shall be completed by the end of business (5:00 p.m.) on October 22, 2015. It is also agreed that the CITY shall have an option for extension of this Services Authorization as necessary to complete the present scope of Services (Exhibit I) or to provide additional services.

### IV. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Services Authorization supersedes all previous services authorizations, amendments, agreements, or representations, either verbal or written, heretofore in effect between the CITY and the ENGINEER that may have concerned the matters covered herein, except that this Services Authorization shall in no way supersede or amend the Agreement or other services authorizations or amendments except as specifically provided herein. No additions, alterations, or variations to the terms of this Services Authorization shall be valid, nor can the provisions of this Services Authorization be waived by either party, unless such additions, alterations, or waivers are expressly set forth in writing in a document duly executed by both parties. ENGINEER acknowledges and agrees that any proposals or proposed agreements from subconsultants attached to this SERVICES AUTHORIZATION are attached solely to reflect the scopes of work to be performed and the fees to be charged by such subconsultants. By executing this SERVICES AUTHORIZATION, the CITY does not become a party thereto or bound by the terms thereof.

*IN WITNESS WHEREOF*, the parties hereto have executed this Services Authorization on the day and year first written above.

# Approve as to form and legality for the use and reliance of the City of Orlando, Florida, only. Assistant City Attorney Orlando, Florida

Want	man Group, Inc.
By:	
	(Print Name)
Title:	
STATE OF FLORIDA }  COUNTY OF	
before me that he/she executed the foregoing instru	orporation named above, and acknowledged
act and deed, and that he/she was duly authorized to	
WITNESS my hand and official seal	this, 20
2817 1 1070	ARY PUBLIC
My Co	Name: ommission Expires:



April 30, 2014

Mr. John Rhoades City Of Orlando Transportation Planning Division 400 S. Orange Ave. Orlando, FL 32801

Re: Sligh Blvd & Columbia Street Professional Engineering Services Supplemental (Contract 13-0346)

Dear Mr. Rhoades:

Wantman Group, Inc. (WGI) is pleased to provide this memo for the explanation of revised scope of professional engineering services to the City of Orlando for the subject project. Our scope of services and corresponding fees are detailed below.

### **SCOPE OF SERVICES**

WGI scope of services will include the following:

### A. Engineering Design Phase Services

- 1. Development of Concept Plans for Columbia Street.
- 2. Additional hours for development of roadway plans for Columbia Street & Sligh Boulevard.
- 3. Additional hours for development of design phase & plans to incorporate drainage closed system on Sligh Boulevard & Columbia St.
- 4. Additional hours for development of plans & coordination with utility agency owners.
- 5. Additional hours for development of plans & coordination for sewer main.
- 6. Additional hours for development of plans & coordination for water main.
- Additional hours for additional topographic & route survey updates due to recently constructed elements as part of the Sunrail construction that tie into city owned rightof-way.
- 8. Additional hours for utility designation & utility test holes services due to the added conflicts of the proposed drainage closed system.
- 9. Additional hours for the survey final deliverable updates due to the revision of scope of services.
- 10. Additional hours for development of roadway maintenance of traffic plans for Columbia Street & Sligh Boulevard. (Dannick Engineering)

April 26, 2014 Page 2

### **FEES**

Our fees for the proposed scope of services are as follows:

<u>Ta</u>	<u>sk</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Budget</u>
1.	Engineering Design Phase Services (WGI)	Not to Exceed	\$ 163,285.57
2.	Engineering Design Phase Services (Dannick)	Not to Exceed	\$ 33,948.00
	TOTAL FEE		\$ 197.233.57

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to City of Orlando.

Respectfully submitted,

WANTMAN GROUP, INC.

Alfredo Rodriguez, P.E. Project Manager

T:\21351346.00 Sligh Boulevard\WGI\_DATA\Project Management\Scope and Fee\Staff Hours & Fee Estimates\Final Supplemental\Submitted\01 Proposal - Supplemental.doc





April 14, 2014

Mr. Matthew Tibbetts Water Distribution Engineering Orlando Utilities Commission 3800 Gardenia Avenue Orlando, FL 32839

Re: Sligh Blvd & Columbia Street Water Main Design Proposal for Professional Engineering Services

Dear Mr. Tibbetts:

Wantman Group, Inc. (WGI) is pleased to provide this proposal for professional engineering services to the Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) and the City of Orlando for replacing approximately 2,500 LF of existing water main along Sligh Blvd (from E Miller St to W Columbia St) and Columbia Street (from S Divison Ave to Sligh Blvd) in conjunction with the City of Orlando's roadway project of the same limits. Our scope of services and corresponding fees are detailed below.

### **SCOPE OF SERVICES**

WGI scope of services will include the following:

### A. Engineering Design Phase Services

WGI will perform the following engineering design services for the new water main: and will incorporate roadway and sewer designs provided by WGI.

- 1. Visit the site to investigate the existing features along the proposed alignment.
- 2. Design and prepare on-site potable water distribution plans including details in accordance with OUC, City of Orlando, and Florida Department of Environmental Protection standards.
- 3. Prepare a plan/profile and details for water main improvements that will be jack and bored under an existing CSX railroad crossing along Columbia Street. Plans will be prepared per FDOT specifications and requirements for CSX permitting.
- 4. Permitting with FDOT and CSX may be required at rail road crossing and is included in permitting phase of work.
- 5. Prepare any Technical Specifications for critical components of construction not covered in the plan details and specifications.
- 6. Review OUC specifications for conflicts with City of Orlando specifications, and prepare the Division 0 and Division 1 specifications to include OUC (i.e. Measurement and Payment, Bid Form, etc.)

2035 Vista Parkway & West Palm Beach, Florida 33411 & Phone: 561-687-2220 & Fax: 561-687-1110

- 7. Submit to OUC and the City of Orlando 60% and 100% construction documents. Deliverables will include on 11x17 hardcopy, and a Adobe X PDF file for each submittal and final signed / sealed set.
- 8. Prepare the Engineer's Opinion of Cost at the 60% and 100% submittal.

### B. Permitting Phase Services

WGI will prepare and submit the permit applications along with the required associated design documents. All permit fees will be paid by WGI and reimbursed by OUC and the City of Orlando. Required permit fees will be established during the permitting phase. We anticipate submitting applications and documents to the following agencies:

- 1. Orlando Utilities Commission (OUC) Water Plan Approval.
- 2. Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Water Permit.
- 3. CSX Railroad (CSX) Utility Construction Permit under railroad tracks.
- 4. Florida Department of Transportation Utility Permit Utility Construction permit under railroad tracks.

### C. Post Design Services

WGI will perform the following construction phase services for the water main improvements:

- 1. Attend up to one (1) pre-construction meeting with the Contractor, OUC and the City of Orlando.
- 2. Review up to ten (10) shop drawing submittals from the Contactor.
- 3. Perform periodic field observations of construction for the work included in the civil engineering plans to ensure the work is completed in substantial accordance with the approved and permitted plans and specifications. Observations will include pressure test and pigging and flushing of the new water main. (Budget 40 hours)
- 4. Respond to Contractor's Request for Additional Information (RAI) and Change Orders.
- 5. Coordinate and submit record drawings prepared by Contractor's Professional Surveyor registered in the State of Florida to permitting agencies for approval.
- 6. Attend a pre-final and final field review with OUC and the City of Orlando and prepare punch list.
- 7. Prepare and submit construction certification packages for final acceptance.
- 8. Provide OUC and the City of Orlando a signed / sealed set of 11x17 record drawings and AutoCAD files (2012 format or earlier).



### BASIS OF THIS PROPOSAL

This proposal is based on the following:

- 1. WGI shall be entitled to rely on the completeness and accuracy of all information provided by OUC. Information requested by WGI during the project will include, but may not be limited to:
  - a. Conceptual layout of proposed water mains to be incorporated into the design.
  - b. Locations of all existing water mains and services along the project corridor that will require re-connection.
- 2. The water main design will incorporate roadway and sewer designs prepared by WGI under a separate contract.
- 3. Design of new water main improvements is based conceptual drawing information provided by OUC. Any additional work required to locate services or other water main elements is not included.
- 4. Additional submittals and coordination with permitting agencies not due to WGI's work will be invoiced on an hourly basis in accordance with the attached fee schedule.
- 5. The project will be designed and permitted in one (1) phase.
- 6. Locating any additional water services not provided by OUC drawings with Subsurface Utility Engineering (SUE) services can be provided at a daily allowance of \$2,500 / day for a total of two (2) days. Authorization by OUC and City of Orlando will be required prior to doing this work for any additional days..
- 7. 60% and 100% construction documents submittals will include the following plan sheets:
  - Cover Sheet
  - Key Sheet
  - Water Plan and Profile
  - Detail Sheets
- 8. Deliverables to OUC and the City of Orlando will include on 11x17 hard copy, and an Adobe X PDF file for the 60% and 100% submittals and a signed /sealed 100% set.
- 9. Water Plan and Profile sheets will be drawn at 1"= 20' scale.
- 10. Civil engineering specifications and details will be included in the construction plans.
- 11. All water main construction is assumed to occur simultaneously with the roadway construction eliminating the need for additional Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plans.



Mr. Matthew Tibbetts April 14, 2014 Page 4

- 12. All M.O.T. during construction is assumed to occur simultaneously with the roadway construction eliminating the need for additional M.O.T. plans.
- 13. The project will be constructed in one (1) phase.
- 14. Site Visits for construction administration are based on a budget of forty (40) hours. Additional time for site visits will be pre- approved by OUC, City of Orlando and invoiced on an hourly basis in accordance with the attached fee schedule.
- 15. Services not included:
  - Survey, title search, easements, legal descriptions or plats.
  - Environmental, Traffic, Structural, Electrical or Mechanical Engineering services.

### FEES

Our fees for the proposed scope of services are as follows:

<u>Task</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Budget</u>
1. Engineering Design Phase Services	Lump Sum	\$ 22,500
2. Permitting Services	Lump Sum	\$ 8,500
3. Post Design Services	Lump Sum	\$ 13,500
TOTAL LUMP SUM FEE		\$ 44,500 *
Optional Task if Requested  1. Additional Subsurface Utility Locate Services	<u>Type</u> Lump Sum	<u>Budget</u> \$ 5,000

### TOTAL FEE INCLUDING OPTIONAL SERVCIES

\$ 49,500 \*

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to the Orlando Utilities Commission and the City of Orlando.

Respectfully submitted,

WANTMAN GROUP, INC.

Jennifer Morton, RLA, LEED-AP

Land Development Manager

LA 0001666

M:\2014 PROPOSALS\Civil\Orlando Utilities Commission\Sligh Blvd - Columbia Road\01 Proposal - OUC Water Design 04.14.14.doc



<sup>\*</sup>Permitting Fees are not included in total fee. Required permit fees will be established during the permitting phase scope of work.

### WANTMAN GROUP, INC FEE SCHEDULE EFFECTIVE DATE – JANUARY 29, 2014

### **Hourly Rate**

Principal Engineer	\$275.00
Expert Witness	\$350.00
Project Manager	\$175.00
Senior Professional Engineer	\$150.00
Professional Engineer	\$130.00
Field Engineer	\$125.00
Engineer Intern	\$100.00
CADD Technician	\$ 90.00
Environmental Scientist	\$130.00
Planner - Public Hearing Representation	\$250.00
Principal Planner	\$200.00
Planner	\$130.00
Assistant Planner	\$ 90.00
GIS Technician	\$ 90.00
Principal Surveyor	\$225.00
Senior Professional Surveyor	\$150.00
Professional Surveyor	\$130.00
Survey Intern	\$100.00
2 Man Field Crew	\$120.00
3 Man Field Crew	\$150.00
4 Man Field Crew	\$180.00
5 Man Field Crew	\$210.00
Utility Coordinator	\$120.00
Designating Crew	\$120.00
Vacuum Excavation Crew	\$200.00
Laser Scan Crew	\$250.00
Hydrographic/Bathymetric Crew	\$325.00
Administrative Assistant	\$ 70.00
Blueprints (per sq. ft.)	\$ 0.60
Full Color Plot (per sq. ft.)	\$ 15.00
Photo Mylars (each)	\$120.00
Mylars (per sq. ft.)	\$ 6.00
Copies, Black & White (each)	\$ 0.30
Copies, Color (each)	\$ 1.00
Other Expenses	Cost Plus 10%

Expenses: In addition to labor, WANTMAN bills for the following project related costs at a contractually agreed markup: printing; conference calling charges; document review, permit or recording fees paid on behalf of CLIENT; shipping; bid advertisement; specialty materials, software or equipment rental; sub-consultant fees; costs of project related employee travel including meals, lodging, airfare and miscellaneous travel costs such as tolls, parking, etc; mileage for all company-owned vehicles (trucks) will be charged at \$0.85/mile; employee owned vehicles used for transportation related to the Project will be charged at the prevailing federal mileage rate allowed by the IRS at the time the travel occurs.

WANTMAN also bills for the cost of internal reproduction and the use of specialized equipment related to subsurface utility vacuum excavation, mobile scanning (LIDAR), and hydrographic surveying.

Rates Are Valid Through December 31, 2014
Fee Schedule Accepted by:

שלים להייל חילים	1- ~!:-L DI /4	* ****	
WGI ACCOUNTING TASK AMOUNTS	ASK AMOUNTS	J-03+0J	
WGI	Original	Revised	<u>Differential</u>
Survey Field Support	\$ 23,850.00	\$ 30,446.00	\$6,596.00
Survey Office Support	\$ 7,478.00	\$ 8,702.00	\$1,224.00
Survey SUE Services	\$ 20,302.50	\$ 27,112.50	\$3,257.00
AGS (Title Search)	\$ 8,600.00	\$ 2,500.00	(\$6,100.00)
Survey Subtotal	\$ 60,230.50	\$ 68,760.50	\$8,530.00
Project Management (Includes Misc Item)	\$ 59,592.12	\$ 59,592.12	\$0.00
Roadway	\$ 144,903.36	\$ 203,493.96	\$58,590.60
Drainage	\$ 1,350.24	\$ 22,194.57	\$20,844.33
Utilities (Util Coordination & Sewer design)	\$ 28,689.60	\$ 54,510.24	\$25,820.64
Utilities (Water Design - OUC Contract)	\$ -	\$ 49,500.00	\$49,500.00
Permitting	\$ 3,678.30	\$ 3,678.30	\$0.00
Signing and Pavement Markings	\$ 31,979.16	\$ 31,979.16	\$0.00
Roadway and Drainage Subtotal	\$ 270,192.78	\$ 424,948.35	
WGI Total	\$ 330,423.28	\$ 493,708.85	\$163,285.57
Dannick	\$ 78,418.50	\$ 112,366.50	\$33,948.00
RSH	\$ 95,469.84	\$ 95,469.84	\$0.00
Buchheit	\$ 19,068.00	\$ 19,068.00	\$0.00
Tierra	\$ 22,533.74	\$ 22,533.74	\$0.00
Expenses	\$6,438.40	\$ 6,438.40	\$0.00
Grand Total	\$ 552,351.76	Grand Total \$ 552,351.76 \$ 749,585.33 \$197,233.57	\$197,233.57

		Original Hours	Triginal Hours	ard Project	Revised Hours		Hours	Fee (3.0)
Description	Hours	Fee	Fee (3.0)	Hours	Fee	Fee (3.0)	Differential	Differential
Roadway			i					
Act. 3 - Project Common and General Tasks	268	\$ 12,177.25	\$ 36,531.75	268	\$12,177.25	\$ 36,531.75	٥	\$
Act. 4 - Roadway Analysis	773	\$ 29,221.88	\$ 87,665.64	1093		\$ 123,913.32	320	\$ 36,247.68
Act. 5 - Roadway Plans	470	\$ 19,079.24	\$ 57,237.72	657			187	\$ 22,342.92
Act. 6 - Drainage Analysis	16	\$ 450.08	\$ 1,350.24	263	\$7,398.19	\$ 22,194.57	247	\$ 20,844.33
Act. 7 - Utilities (Util Coordination & Sewer design)	200	\$ 9,563.20	\$ 28,689.60	380	\$18,170.08	\$ 54,510.24	180	\$ 25,820.64
Act. 7A - Utilities (Water Design - OUC Contract)	0	<b>S</b>	·	N/A	\$16,500.00	\$ 49,500.00	N/A	\$ 49,500.00
Act. 8 - Env. Permits, Compliance & Clearances	30	\$ 1,226.10	\$ 3,678.30	30	\$1,226.10	\$ 3,678.30	0	<b>φ</b>
Act 19 - Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis	194	\$ 7,430.44	\$ 22,291.32	194	\$ 7,430.44	\$ 22,291.32	0	<b>₹</b>
Act. 20 - Signing & Pavement Marking Plans	79	\$ 3,229.28	\$ 9,687.84	79	\$ 3,229.28	\$ 9,687.84	0	<b>.</b>
Miscellaneous		\$ 7,686.79	\$ 23,060.37		\$ 7,686.79	\$ 23,060.37	0	·
							POOR ALL AND AND THE TOP A	
:		Original Hours	urs		Revised Hours		Hours	
Description	Hours		Fee	Hours		Fee	Differential	Fee Differentia
Survey								
Task 1 - Topo and Route	168		\$ 21,250.50	176		\$ 22,260.50	œ	\$ 1,010.00
Task 2 - Utility Designation	91		\$ 10,350.00	110		\$ 12,360.00	19	\$ 2,010.00
Task 3 - Utility Test Holes	112		\$ 16,720.00	179		\$ 26,500.00	67	\$ 9,780.00
Task 5 - Title Search (AGS) (LS)	29 Parcels	reis	\$ 8,600.00	8 Parcels		\$ 2,500.00	0	\$ (6,100.00)
Task 6 - Title Review Services	∞		\$ 880.00	80		\$ 880.00	0	<b>٠</b>
Task 7 - Final Deliverables	27		\$ 2,430.00	49		\$ 4,260.00	22	\$ 1,830.00
Subtotal Survey:	238		\$ 60,230.50	346		\$ 68,760.50	108	\$ 8,530.00
Grand Total 9768	2268		8C ECV 055 \$	2210	50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5		1040	2 100 07
	100	100 Miles	7 700,743.40	OTCC		7 473,700.03	740T	(C.CO2,CD1 ¢

Sligh Boulevard Improvements Supplemental Utility Locations (48 additional test holes due to the drainage improvements and surveying 15 sanitary inverts). Title work was reduced from 29 parcels to 8 parcels that could be affected by utility and/or drainage impacts.

			20		7	· ~6	5	10 P	LJ.	2		_		Z e
Cost by Position	Total Hours		Total Cost	- Address - Addr	Final Deliverables	6 Fitte Review Services	5 Title Search (LS to be provided by others)	4 Specific Purpose Right of Way (Apparent) Survey	3 Utility Test Holes	Utility Designation	Vertical Control)	Topographic and Route Survey (includes Horizontal and	2013 WGI Rates	Task irem
\$ 1,920.00 S	16			-					8	4	1		\$ 120,00 S	PSM
S 900.00 S	5					1000人をよって、公本の方法の公正の		からいのでは、					S 150.00 S	SR. PSM
\$ 2,800.00 \$	5 40	<b></b>	Manual Control of the		2 16	の		世帯できる おかかい	2 1					Technician
100.00	0 2		The second second		6 1	A STATE OF STATE OF			16 1	6 0	2 0		70.00 8 50.00 8	Clerical
\$ 2.100.00 \$	14		나는 그 그 것을						10	0	4		150.0	3-Person Survey Crew
\$ 960.00	8									8			9 \$ 120.00	Utility Designating Crew
\$ 5.850.1	30		Section 25								•		\$ 195.00	Vacuum Excavation Crew
	9		\$ 8,530.00		\$ 1,830.00	s	-\$6,100.00	- \$	30 \$ 9,780.00	\$ 2,010.00	\$ 1,010.00		A STATE OF STATE OF	Task Total

GRAND TOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:

\$117,462.66

# ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT AND COST - PRIME CONSULTANT

FAP No.:	Name of Project: County: FPN:
N/A	Reconstruction of Sligh Boulevard and Columbia Street Orange County - City of Orlando N/A
0 0	WGI Roadway - Exhibit II
	Consultant Name: Wantman Group, Inc. Consultant No.: Date: 2/21/2014

\$39.60	\$117,462.66	2,000	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,361.28	\$26,020.25	\$30,614.70	\$2,419.43	\$2,312.50	\$38,508.40		Total Staff Cost
		2988	0	0	0	0	0	342	925	786	37	37	809	2,964	Total Staff Hours
\$0.00	\$0.00	c	0	٥	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	34. Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	0		o	0	0	0	0	Ċ	Ċ		os. Iritelligent fransportation systems Analysis
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	- 4	-		, c		22 January T.
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	٥	•	۵	0	0	0	0						33 Notes Bodies Development
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•					at Architecture Development
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	•	•	6	0	0	•	0	0			, ,		Lo. Mapping
\$0.00	\$0.00	•	0	0	٥	0	0	•	0				· c		20. Filologianimed y
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	•	٥	٥	0	. 0			· -			,	28 Photogramman
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	٥	0	٥	0	0							c	27 Support Field & Office Support
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	٥		۵				, с	, -				26   anderson Architecture Disco
\$0.00	\$0,00	0		•		, ,				, ,	, ,	, r	- (		25. Landscape Architecture Analysis
\$0.00	\$0.00	0				, ,	· -			•		- 0		- ·	24. Lighting Plans
\$0.00	\$0.00				· c	· c	) ¢			> 0		> 0	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	23. Lighting Analysis
\$0.00	\$0.00									> (	<b>,</b>	<b>-</b>		- ·	22. Signalization Plans
\$40.57	97.677.04	> 2		,	 -	<b>&gt;</b> (	<b>&gt;</b> •	<b>-</b>	<b>5</b> !	5	<b>¬</b>	0	0	0	21. Signatization Analysis
\$20.00	2000	3 3	<b>.</b>	- ·		5	o	<u> </u>	24	28	4	4	20	79	20. Signing & Pavement Marking Plans
\$3830	\$7 430 44	192		0	•	0	0		<del>2</del> 8	78	0	۵	58	194	19. Signing & Pavement Marking Analysis
\$0.00	\$0.00	_	•	•	0	•	0		ò	0	٥	0	0	0	18. Structures - Miscellaneous
\$0.00	50 00	6	٥	0	6	•	0	0	0	0	0	D	0	0	17. Structures - Retaining Walks
\$0.00	\$0.00			0	•	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0		0	16. Structures - Movable Span
\$0.00	\$0.00		٥	٥	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0		0	15. Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge
\$0.00	\$0.00			0	0	D	0		0	0	0	0	•	0	14. Structures - Structural Steel Bridge
\$0.00	\$0,00	Ó	٥	0		0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	13. Structures - Medium Span Concrete Bridge
\$0.00	\$0,00		٥	•	0	o	0	٥	0	٥	0	0	0	0	12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge
\$0.00	\$0.00		0	o .	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	11. Structures - Lemporary Bridge
\$0.00	\$0.00		0	0	0	o	0		0	0	•		0	0	10. Structures - Bridge Development Report
\$0.00	\$0.00	o	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	9. Structures - Misc. Tasks, Dwgs, Non-Tech.
\$40.87	\$1,226,10	3	٥	0	•	٥	0	0	0	٥	0	٥	0	8	8. Environmental Permits, Compliance & Clearances
\$47.82	\$18,170.08	380	0	0	•	0	0	342	0	0	0	ò	38	380	7. Utilities
\$28.13	\$7,398.19	263	0	•	٥	0	0	0	263	0	-	Ď	•	263	6. Drainage Analysis
\$40.38	\$26,526.88	657	٥	o	•	Đ	0	0	197	230	33	ಜ	<u>1</u>	87	o. Roadway Plans
\$37.76	\$41,304.44	1,094	٥	٥	•	o	0	٥	383	383	•	٥	328	1,093	4. Roadway Analysis
\$45,44	\$12,177.25	268	0	•	•	٥	0	ó	o	67	0	٥	201	268	3. Project General and Project Common Tasks
Task	Activity	Activity	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$47.84	\$28.13	\$38.95	\$65.39	\$62,50	\$47.60	Summary -	
Rate Per	Cost By	By.	fication 12	fication 11	tication 10	tication 9	neation 8	Coordinator	Engineer	машбил			maringer	"SH	· ·
Average	Salary	HS	Staff Classi-	Lead Utility	Project	Sr. Project	QC Rev.	QC Princ.	Sr. Proj	Total Staff Hours From	Staff Classification				

EXHIBIT II - Page 5 of 27

## Project Activity 4: Roadway Analysis

	4.13 0	4.12	4	4.10	4.9 D	4.8 D	4.7 M	4.0	The state of the state of	4		4.3 A	AG 1 1 A
Roadway Analysis Technical Subtotal	4.13 Other Roadway Analysis	4.12   echnical Special Provisions	4.11 Cost Estimate	4.10 Computation Book & Quantities	Design Report	Design Variations and Exceptions	Master TCP Design Files	rame Control Analysis	Cross Section Design Files	Horizontal/Verticel Miaster Design Files		Access Management	Pavement Design Package Access Management
lysis Tec	ઢ	6	S	ធ	હ	ß	હ	ß	ನ	6	-	ြ	छ छ
hnical S		_	_				-13	-		<u>.</u>			
ubtotal	120	0	40	46	24	O.	٥	٥	150	200		0	0 40
4	28	•	40	8	24	٥	0	٥	150	200	0	,	6
Revised Subtotal	_								<b>-</b>				
Subtotal	180	7							300	280			
934	180	° -	40	40	24	O	0	o	300	280	0		8
	8		0	0	0	0	0	•	150	80	0	ľ	-
	60	- P							150	80			
	Columbia Street to establish a typical concept for each project. Due to the nultiple concept exploration for Sligh Boulevard WGI utilized 100 hours for the Sligh Boulevard concept analysis alone. The analysis for Typical concept for Columbia St. required WGI 80 hours.	no tsp for roadway  Originally assumed 60 hours for Sligh Boulevard and 60 Hours for	Will provide pay item list and estimate at each phase submittal	Summary of tables in plans	Design documentation submitted with phase submittals (40hrs for Phase I and 20 for each additional phase - Phase IV and Final).		Dannick (See Dannick's Revised Hours Summary)	Dannick (See Dannick's Revised Hours Summary)	Originally assumed 100' spacing and middle range project b/c of reconstruction but due to the complexity of the project analysis was based on 50' spacings to calculate an accurate earthwork due to the sudden changes in typical and geometry.	Originally estimated 80 hours for Sligh Boulevard & 120 for Columbia utilizing the low range estimate from the FDOT man-hours estimating guidelines. Due to the complexity of the Sligh Boulevard corridor and multiple redesigns (West side bus lane, Middle bus lane 25 mph & Middle bus lane 25 mph & Middle bus lane 30 mph) WGI utilized 150 hours for Sligh Boulevard. Originally estimated 120 hours for Columbia Street, WGI provided multiple concepts for Columbia St, which required a total of 130 hours on.		Reconstruction of Stight Boulevard and Columbia Street (20 hrs/ street)	

Page 2 of 10

## Project Activity 4: Roadway Analysis

					X	· AND SECTION			Anna Company of the C	The second secon
No. 128%	CILES	No of	Hours/	Total	No of	Hours	Total	7	Supp#1	Comments
		Units	Unit	Hours	Hours Units		Hours	- E		
4.14 Field Reviews	S	_	24	24			24	0		2 person at 3 field reviews at 4 hr each.
4.15 Technical Meetings	SJ		41	41			4	-		Meetings are listed below
										•
4.16 Quality Assurance/Quality Control	5	%	5%	33	*	5%	47	15	15	
4.17 Independent Peer Review	S	%	0%	٥	%	0%	0	0		n/a
4.18 Supervision	SI	%	5%	32	%	5%	47	15	15	
				I						
Roadway Analysis Nontechnical Subtotal	s Nonte	chnical	Subtotal	773	Revised Subtotal	btotal	1093		9	
4.19 Coordination	S	%	%0	0				-		
<ul> <li>Section of the section of the section</li></ul>	Roadwa	y Analy	4. Roadway Analysis Total	773	Revised Total	100	1093	Totals:	320	The second secon
	l						のはいないのはないというというというと			

	Phase II, IV, and Final.	6	2	ယ	Ā	Phase Review Meetings
	Attend monthly progress meetings	12	2	တ	Ā	Progress Meetings (if required by FDOT)
		23				Subtotal Technical Meetings
Health, etc.) and concept di	Included for Misc meetings (Orlando Health, etc.) and concept discussion.	6	2	ယ	5	Other Meetings
	Phase II, IV, and Final	6	N	ω	ς,	30/60/90/100% Comment Review Meeting
	Dannick	N	2	_	Ā	Work Zone Traffic Control
	1 meeting with FDOT	N	2	_	Ψ,	MPO)
						ocal Governments (cities, counties,
	n/a	0	0	٥	Ē	Driveways
	Will be concept discussion	ω	ω	_	Ā	15% Line and Grade - Concept Discussion
	n/a	0	0	0	Ψ,	Access Management
	Discuss Pavement with City staff	N	13	_	₽	Pavement
	Discuss typical section with City	2	2	_	Ē	Typical Section

Note: Project Manager attendance at progress, phase and field review meetings are manually entered on General Task 3

	Project /
	octivity 5:
	₹
l	Plans

5.16	5.15	5.14	5.13	5.12	5.11	5.10	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.3	5.2	5.1	Task
Special Profile	Plan Sheet	Profile Sheet	Plan/Profile Sheet	Project Layout	Optional Pipe/Culvert Material	Summary of Drainage Structures	Bridge Hydraulics Recommendation Sheets	Box Culvert Data Sheet	Summary of Quantities	General Notes/Pay Item Notes	Typical Section Sheets	Interchange Drainage Map	Drainage Map	Summary of Pay Items Including Quantity Input	Key Sheet	Task
	_		20								_					Scale
Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	_	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Units
0	0	0	1 to		0	<b></b>	0	0	4	2	2	0	0	2	~3	Original S No. of Hours/ Units Unit
0	٥	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	12	8	12	0	0	0	8	ginal So Hours/ Unit
0	٥	0	10	•	0		0	0	4	2	2	0	0	2	_	Original Scope Hours of Hours/ No. of T
0	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	48	16	24	0	0	0	8	Total Hours
				1		_								. <del></del>		Ro of Units
	:			9		12								12		vised S Hours/ Unit
				, j.		•								- <b>4</b>		Revised Scope Hours  M Hours/ No. of Sheets I
0	0	0	40	6	0	12	0	0	48	16	24	0	0	13	8	Total Hours
0	0	0	0	6	0	12	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	13	0	Hours
			0	O		12		Ì						12		Reco Supp#1
n/a	n/a use plan/profile	n/a use plan/profile	Originally assumed 40' scale, due to the excessive details a 20' scale was implemented. The numbers of sheet still remains as 10 covering Sligh Boulevard and the west side of Columbia Street.	City has requested a project layout sheet which was not required onginally		Original scope had no drainage design for Sligh Boulevard or Columbia. Updated scope will address all drainage design			to create Summary of quantity table in plans.	One sheet for Sligh and Columbia	2 Sligh and 2 Columbia			City has required a summary of pay items which was not negotiated originally. (4hr/phase x 3 phases)		Reconstruction of Sligh Boulevard and Columbia Street

## Project Activity 5: Roadway Plans

incorporate geotech sheet into plans	0	8				80	_	8		Sheet		Roadway Soil Survey Sheet	5.29 R
0		0				0	0	0	0	Sheet		Cross-Section Pattern Sheet	5.28 Cr
0		0				0	0	0	0	Ę		Retention Pond Cross Sections	5.27 Re
0		0				0	0	0	0	Sheet		Retention/Detention Ponds Detail Sheet	5.26 Re
0		0				0	0	0	0	5		Lateral Ditch Cross Sections	5.25 La
0		0				0	0	0	0	Sheet		Lateral Ditch Plan/Profile	5.24 La
6	46 N	16	2	Co	N	0		0	_	Sheet		Miscellaneous Drainage Detail Sheets	5.23 Mi
74	district the second	74	12	2	37	0	0	O	0	<u>П</u>		Drainage Structure Sheet (Per Structure)	5.22 Dr
0		80				80	2	40	Ν.	Sheet		Miscellaneous Detail Sneets	5.21
0		144				144	6	24	6	Sheet		Intersection Layout Details	5.20 Int
•		0				0	0	0	0	Sheet		Ramp Terminal Details (Plan View)	5.19 Ra
_	0	0				0	0	0	0	Sheet		Interchange Layout Sheet	5.18 Int
0	<b>-4</b> 0	0	0	0	0	40	5	8	СП	Sheet		Back of Sidewalk Profile Sheet	5.17 Ba
Delta Supp#1	Delta	Total Hours	No. of Sheets	Hours/ Unit	No. of Units	Total Hours	No. of Sheets	No. of Hours/ Units Unit	7 7 7	Units	Scale	Task	No.

V 10/2					—					11. Januar 2019.76.	
Revis	5%	5%	Revised Subtotal							6	
Revised Total										10	
657	30	30	597	0	0	0	0	20	0	88	0
Totals:	9	9		0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0
187	9	9								8	
2,4							by contractor	put on TCP plans 2 hrs/sh		Due to the the amount of u project limits and limited sy sheets to call out the utility information, it was necessarily adjustment sheets. Sue we included in the utility adjust	Dannick
							or	plans 2 h		the amounts and limits and limits and the all out the all out the all out twas no sheets. Sheets. Steets. Stee	
			Š					ırs/sh		nt of utility utility cess ine ve	

5. Roadway Plans Total LS / % 5%

5

470 21

	5.42	5.41		5.40	5.39	5.38	5.37	5.36	5.35	55,84	5.33	5.32	5.31		No.
	Supervision	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	Roac	Utility Verification Sheet (SUE Data)	Environmental Detail Sheets	Project Control Network Sheet	SWPPP	Erosion Control Plan	Selective Clearing and Grubbing	Utility Adjustment Sheets	Traffic Control Detail Sheets	Traffic Control Cross Section Sheets	Driveways	Cross Sections	Task Andrews Company
			Roadway Plans Technical Subtota				_		-		10				Scale 1
	S	LS	ns Tech	Sheet	LS	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	₽	Sheet	\$	Units
	%	%	nical Su	0	0	0		10	0	a	0	0	0	•	No. of Hours/ Units Unit
	5%	5%	btotal	0	0	0	٥	2	0	0	0	0	0	o	
			55	0	0	0	_	10	0	6	0	0	0	0	No. of Sheets
	21	21	428	0	0	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	Total Hours
	%	%								<b>10</b>				57	No. of Units
	5%	5%	Revise							G				0.5	Hours/ Unit
		7 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Revised Subtotal							10				15	/ No. of Sheets
	30	30	597	٥	٥	0	0	20	0	60	0	0	0	29	Total Hours
	9	9		0	-	0	0	0	0	60	0	0	0	29	Delta
A 50 NO 10 TO 10	9	9								60				29	Supp #1
							by contractor	put on TCP plans 2 hrs/sheet		Due to the the amount of utilities within the project limits and limited space on plans & profile sheets to call out the utility adjustment information, it was necessary to create utility adjustment sheets. Sue verification data will be included in the utility adjustment sheets.	Dannick	Dannick	Dannick	Original scope did not allocate hours for cross section sheets, this element is essential to provide the contractor guidance of elements and tie-downs along the project.	Comments

## Project Activity 6: Drainage Analysis

Cirgin Production with Colonianta Caroca		8.00 Kg 018			200		- 12 20 20			- 1-	
Sliph Roulevand and Columbia Street	<b>X</b>	20	- 8	X		0	0	1		Temporary Drainage Analysis	6.15
						0	0	0	ΕA	Bridge Hydraulic Report	6.14
Full drainage design documentation report	0   40	40	40	40	1	0	0	1	LS	Drainage Design Documentation Report	6.13
		0	0			0	0	0	ΕA	Drainage Wells	6.12
		0	0			0	0	0	Per 1000 Feet of French Drain	French Drain Systems	6.11
	_	0	0			0	0	0	LS	Optional Culvert Material	6.10
for Sligh Boulevard from Miller Street to Columbia Street.	111	411	<u>.</u>	မ	37			. ; c	E <b>A</b>	Design of Storm Drains	0
19 proposed structures for Columbia Street from Division to Slinh Boulevard 18 proposed structures						N N. 15 N					<b>)</b>
			0			0	0	0	Per Encroachment	Design of Flood Plain Compensation Area	6.8
		•	0			0	0	0	Per System	Design of Stormwater Management Facility (Roadside Ditch as Linear Pond or Infield Pond)	6.7
		0	0			0	0	0	EA	Design of Stormwater Management Facility (Offsite Pond)	6.6
Analyze pipe outfall to Lake of the Woods from Columbia St. & Sligh Blvd. Connection	4 24	24	24	24	1	0	0			Design of Outfalls	6.5
		0	0			0	0	0	Per Ditch Mile	Design of Roadway Ditches	6.4
		_	0			0	0	0	E A	Design of Cross Drains	6.3
		0	0			0	0	0	Per Basin	Pond Siting Analysis and Report	6.2
		0	0			0	0	0	<ul> <li>Per Location</li> </ul>	Determine Base Clearance Water Elevation	6.1
Comments	a Supp#1	Delta	Total Hours	Hours) Unit	No. of	Total Hours	Hours/ Unit	No of Units	Units	Task	No.
			e Hours	Revised Scope Hours	THE REV	Hours	Original Scope Hours	Origin			7

## Project Activity 6: Drainage Analysis

No.	General	Carries to Task 3, Project General	Carries to	N	meetings	ı,	8				of the force	Total Meetings	
Desired Special Provisions					manager		,	,	•	    	Ç		
No of Hours/ Total No. of Hours/ Total No. of Hours/ Units					Project		0	0	0	Ē	etings	Phase Review Me	
Cost Estimate							0	0	0	EA	s (if required by FDOT)	Progress Meetings	
Cost Estimate			Ŀ	8	Subtotal	Revised	<b>~</b>				al Meetings	Subtotal Technic	
No. of Hours   Total   No. of Hours   No. of Ho			Yes				0	0	0	ΕA		Other Meetings	
Coost Estimate		0	귱				0	0	0	ΕA		FDOT Drainage	
Cost Estimate		0	<u>Z</u>	<b>~</b>	Ŋ	4	4	N	N	ΕA	s (City of Orlando)	Local Government	
Cost Estimate		0	no	0	0	0	4	4	_	EA		Agency (SJRWMI	
Cost Estimate		0	п				0	0	0	5	•	Pond Siting	
Cost Estimate   Lis   1   0   0   1   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4		Vo Number 0	Yes / N				0	0	0	EA		Driveways	
Cost Estimate		lendance at N	PM Att	tings	evised Mee	9.11					<b>95</b>	Technical Meetin	
Cost Estimate   LS   1   0   0   1   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4	<b>高級</b>		Totals	263	ed Total	Revis	16	sis Total	ge Analy	6. Draina			
Task         Units         No of technical Special Provisions         LS         1         0         0         1         4 <td>10.50</td> <td></td> <td>14</td> <td>14</td> <td>3%</td> <td>%</td> <td>0</td> <td>3%</td> <td>%</td> <td>LS</td> <td></td> <td>Coordination</td> <td>6.24</td>	10.50		14	14	3%	%	0	3%	%	LS		Coordination	6.24
Cost Estimate   LS   1   0   0   1   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4	-66			249	d Subtotal	Revise	16	Subtotal	echnical	<sub>l</sub> e Analysis Nont	Draina		
Cost Estimate   LS   1   0   0   1   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4   4	ı	11	11	11	5%	%	0	5%	%	LS		Supervision	6.23
Cost Estimate			- 0	0	0%	%	0	0%	%	LS	Review	Independent Peer	6.22
Task         Units         No of Edular         Hours/ Units         Total Units         No. of Hours/ Units         Unit Hours         Total Hours         Units         U	100	11	11	11:	5%	%	0	5%	%	LS -	Quality Control	Quality Assurance	6.21
Task         Units         No of Lours/Units         Hours/Units         Unit Units         Unit Units         Unit Units         Unit Units         Unit Units         Unit Units         Units <t< td=""><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>8</td><td></td><td></td><td>8</td><td>8</td><td>1</td><td>ST</td><td>S</td><td>Technical Meeting</td><td>6.20</td></t<>		0	0	8			8	8	1	ST	S	Technical Meeting	6.20
Task         Units         No of Lours/Units         Total Units         No. of Lours/Units         Hours/Units         Total Units         Uni		0	0	8			8	4	2	LS.		Field Reviews	6.19
Task   Units   No of Hours/   Total   No of Hours/   Units	100		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	211	d Subtotal	Revise	0	Subtotal	echnical	nage Analysis T	Dra		
Task   Units   No of Hours/ Total   No. of Hours/ Hours/   Total   Units   U	200 M 7	16	16	16	16	4	0	0	1	ST	alysis	Other Drainage Ar	6,18
Task Units No of Hours/ Total No. of Hours/ Total Units Unit		œ	8	8	8	1. L	0	0	S.A	LS	Provisions	Technical Special	6.17
Task Units No of Hours/ Total No. of Hours/ Total Units Unit	Sligh Bouleyard and Columbia Street	4			4	S 1 3	0	0	2.1	LS		Cost Estimate	6.16
	100	#KC: 150	Delta	Total Hours		No. of Units	Total Hours	Hours/ Unit	No of Units	Units	Task		ŏ

CoO\_Siigh\_Blvd\_WG!\_Supplementals\_revised 6. Drainage Analysis

Carries to 6.20 Note: Project Manager attendance at progress, phase and field review meetings are manually entered on General Task 3

8 of 10

Estimator		W 10 m 20 m	Orie	Original Scope Hours	2	Povis	Revised Scone House			Reco	Reconstruction of Sligh Boulevard and Columbia Street
No.	Task	Single Si	No of	Hours/ Unit	Total Hours	No of Units	Hours/ Unit	Total :	Hours Delta	Supp #1	Comments
7.1	Kickoff Meeting	SI	1	4	4			4	0		Meet with City staff, inc. agenda/minutes
7.2	Identify Existing UAO(s)	ន	->	83	œ			<b>o</b>			Sunshine 811, field review, review plans and
7.3	Make Utility Contacts	SJ	2	14	28		14	\$	14	14	2 distributions x 14 UAOs x 1 hr/UAO Additional
7.4	Exception Coordination	S	>	0	0			٥	0		N/A
7.5	Preliminary Utility Meeting	ST	1	0	0			0	0		N/A
7.6	Individual/Field Meetings	LS	4	4	16			16	•		4 field meetings inc. agenda/minutes
7.7	Collect and Review Plans and Data from UAO(s)	િ		14	14		14	28	2	14	14 UAOs x 1 m/UAO Additional hours to collect new distribution from UAOs due to new project limits
7.8	Subordination of Easements Coordination	SI		0	0		ĺ	o	0		N/A
7.9	Utility Design Meeting	LS	-	20	20			20	0		Inc. schedule, agenda/minutes
7.10	Review Utility Markups and Work Schedules, and Processing of Schedules and Agreements	ls	2	14	28			28	•		14 UAOs x 4 hr/UAO
7.11	Utility Coordination/Followup	LS	2	14	28			28	0		14 UAOs x 2 hr/UAO
7.12	Utility Constructability Review	ıs	2	14	28			28	0		14 UAOs x 2 hr/UAO
7.13	Additional Utility Services	LS	1	12	12			12	•		Misc. Coordination (Orlando Health, etc.)
7.14	Processing Utility Work by Highway Contractor (UWHC)	ادى	1	0	0	, i		0	0		N/A
7.15	Contract Plans to UAO(s)	เร		14	14			14	0		Send final plans to all UAOs
7.16	Certification/Close-Out	LS.	_	0	0			0	0		No cert. required

Driveways

Total Technical Meetings

() ()) ())

Other Meetings Design Meeting Field Meetings Individual UAO Meetings Preliminary Meeting

0 0

**Kickoff** 

Technical Meetings

7.17 Other Utilities (Sewer Design)

S

0

0

152

25

152

22

Sewer Design: This task includes preparing plans, profiles and details to replace (construct new & remove old) existing sewer laterals for

Sligh and Columbia Street. Also relocating and reconstructing the segment of sewer line between

Copeland and Underwood: This task does not include hours for permitting, additional survey or SUE, hydraulic analysis since it is assumed that

7. Utilities Total

200

Revised Total

380

Totals: 180

										・ 新聞の表記を表示している。 ・ 「一直の表記を表示している。」 ・ 「一面の表記を表示している。」 ・ 「一面の表記を表示している。 ・ 「一面のる。 ・ 「一面のる。 「一面の。	
TW Telecom Wiring Technologies	AT&T Transmission	AT&T Distribution	TECO Peoples Gas	OUC Electric	OUC Water	OOCEA	MCI	Level 3	FPL FiberNet	City of Orlando Wastewater	Brighthouse Networks

**Traffic Control Devices** 

Page 10 of 10

# TASK BREAKDOWN - DANNICK

!	van
•	nick
	띩
	2
	neel
	gr.
	Š
١	onsult
	둞
ı	tants
	š
	쿥

		닭	y of Orland	o Site	City of Orlando Sligh Boulevard Project	d Project								1
		_	Original Hours	San				Revised Hours	S		Н	Hours	<u></u>	Fee (3.0)
Description	Hours		Fee	_	Fee (3.0)	Hours		Fee		Fee (3.0)	Differ	Differential	<u>.</u>	Differential
Roadway and Structures													ſ	
Act. 4 - Roadway Analysis	210	\$	10,500.00 \$ 31,500.00	ş	31,500.00	300	❖	15,000.00 \$	4	45,000.00	is.	90	s	13,500.00
Act. 5 - Roadway Plans	146	43	6,332.00	Ś	18,996.00	302	₩	13,148.00	v	39,444.00	<u> </u>	56	v	20,448.00
Act. 9 - Structures - Misc., Tasks, Dwgs, Non-Tech.	46	s	2,143.00	Ŷ	6,429.00	46	ş	2,143.00	₩	6,429.00	_	0	❖	,
Act. 18 - StructuresMiscellaneous	178	ş	7,164.50	ş	\$ 21,493.50	178	ş	7,164.50	45	21,493.50		0	₩.	•
Total:	580	Ş	6,139.50	S	Total: 580 \$ 26,139.50 \$ 78,418.50 826 \$ 37,455.50 \$ 1	826	S	37,455.50	S	112,366.50	2	246	\$3	3,948.00

Page 1 of 11

## ESTIMATE OF WORK EFFORT AND COST - PRIME CONSULTANT

	hibit II
Consultant No.:	Consultarit Name:
	Dannick

Name of Project: Reconstruction of Sigig Boulevard and Columbia Street County: Orange County - City of Orlando FAP No.:  State County - City of Orlando  St. Proj.	_	ck Road	vay Structures	tures - Ex	chibit II	Staff Classel		Con		Dannick 2/20/2014 Dannick	Rala	1
Roadway PM Structures	Engi.	CADD Tech	Staff Classi- fication 6	aff Classi- lication 7	Staff Classi- fication 8	taff Classi- fication 9		Staff Classi- fication 11	Staff Classi- fication 12	BV SH	ا ہ	Salary Cost By
Summary- \$55.00 \$56.00 \$45.00	\$33.50		\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.0	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0,00	Activity		Activity

	ľ	Charles a														
\$45.35	\$37,455,50		\$0.00	\$0.00	00.0\$	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,032.00	\$2,378.50	\$11,520,00	\$1,540.00	\$17,985.00		Total Staff Cost
		826	0	0	. 0	0	O	0	0	144	71	256	28	327	826	Total Staff Hours
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34. Intelligent Transportation Systems Plans
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	O	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33. Intelligent Transportation Systems Analysis
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32. Noise Barriers Impact Design Assessment
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	31. Architecture Development
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	•	٥		30. Georgechnical
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Φ	0	0	-	zs. mapping
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥			za. Froogrammerry
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	c	0	0	0	a	0	0	0	0		•			zr. survey (Fleid & Office Support)
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	•					27 Supply Alchiesture Flans
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	a	0	0	0	0				, =	25. Landscape Architecture Analysis
\$0.00 E	\$0.00	0	•	0	0	0	0		d	0	•				, ,	Art Calmany ratio
	\$0.00	0	٥	0	0	٥					· c		, -	, .		24 Lighting Plans
	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	٥	-		c				, c		, c	23 liabina Analysis
	\$0.00	0	۰	o	0	-				· c		ه د			<b>5</b> (	22 Signalization Plans
	\$0.00	0	•	•	-					, ,			,		o (	21 Signalization Analysis
	\$0,00	0	•	•						• •			5 (	5 (	<b>5</b> (	20 Signing & Payement Marking Plans
	37,164.50	777			, ,					> 5	<b>&gt;</b> {	<b>&gt;</b> 1	<b>5</b> '	<b>-</b>	,	19. Signing & Payement Marking Analysis
	40,00	Ì		> 0	,	<b>5</b> (	- ·	-	э .	3	53	0	0	71	178	18. Structures - Miscellaneous
	5 6	> 0	۰ د	> (	<b>-</b>	<b>.</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	٥	0	0	0	o	0	0	17. Structures - Retaining Walls
	\$ 10.00	9 (	÷ •	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	0	0	16. Structures - Movable Span
	\$0.00	9	•	0	0	0		•	0	0	0	0	o	۰	0	15. Structures - Segmental Concrete Bridge
	\$0.00	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	14. Structures - Structural Steet Bridge
	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	o	۰	0	0	0	٥	0	o	0	13. Structures - Medium Span Concrete Bridge
	\$0.00	0	•	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	o	12. Structures - Short Span Concrete Bridge
	\$0.00	c	•	o	0	٥	a		0	0	o	o	٥	٥	0	11. Structures - Temporary Bridge
	\$0.00	0	0	o	0	0	o	0	0	•	b	0	0	ō	0	<ol> <li>Structures - Bridge Development Report</li> </ol>
\$0.00	\$2,143.00	<del>6</del> 5	c	٥	0	0	0		a	•	18	0	28	0	46	9. Structures - Misc. Tasks, Dwgs, Non-Tech.
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	a	0	0	0	0		0	0	٥	0	0	o	0	8. Environmental Permits, Compliance & Glearances
\$0.00	\$0.00	o	0	0	ċ	0	0	ò	0	•	0	0	٥	٥	0	7. Utilities
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	6. Drainage Analysis
\$43.39	\$13,148.00	303	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	91	•	106	0	106	302	5. Roadway Plans
\$50.00	\$15,000,00	300	0	0	•	0	•	0	0	0	٥	150	0	150	300	4. Roadway Analysis
\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3. Project General and Project Common Tasks
Task	Activity	Activity	\$0,00	\$0.00	90,00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$28.00	\$33,50	\$45,00	\$55.00	\$55.00	Summary -	
Rate Per	Cost By	₽	fication 12	fication 11	fication 10	fication 9	fication 8	fication 7	fication 6		Engineer	Engineer		Koadway	Š.	
Average	Salary	¥	Staff Classi-	CADD Tach	Project	Sr. Proj.	PM Structures		Total Staff	Staff Classification   Louis From						

GRAND TOTAL ESTIMATED FEE:

\$37,455.50

Dannick TCP Man-Hours Estimate Estimator.

	4.19		4.18	4.17	4.16	4.15	4.14		4.13	4.12	4.11	4.10	4.9	4.8	4.7	4.6	4.5	4.4	4.3	4.2	4.1	N O	Task
	Coordination	Roadway Analysis Nontechnical Subtota	Supervision	Independent Peer Review	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	5 Technical Meetings	Field Reviews	Roadway Analysis Technical Subtota	4.13 Other Roadway Analysis	4.12 Technical Special Provisions	4.11 Cost Estimate	Computation Book & Quantities	Design Report	Design Variations and Exceptions	Master TCP Design Files	Traffic Control Analysis	Crass Section Design Files	Horizontal/Vertical Master Design Files	Access Management	Pavement Design Package	Typical Section Package	Task	
Roadw	LS	s Nonte	S	٦	S	LS	ıs	lysis Te	LS.	rs.	S	ß	LS.	LS	ıs	હ	S	LS	LS	LS	LS	Units	
ay Amaly	%	chnical	%	%	%	_	_	chnical	<b>-</b>	_		-	_	_	الميا ا		_		-	1	1	No of Units	Origi
4. Roadway Analysis Total	0%	Subtota	5%	0%	5%	18	16	Subtota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	160	0	0	0	0	0	Hours/ Unit	Original Scope Hours
210	0	50	æ	0	œ	18	<b>5</b>	160	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	160	0	0	0	0	0	Total Hours	Hours
Revis		Revise	%	%	%			Revise							1							No of	Rev
Revised Total		Revised Subtota	5%	0%	5%			Revised Subtotal							96						-	Hours/ Unit	Revised Scope Hours
300	0	44	13	0	13	2	16	256	0	0	0	0	0	0	96	160	0	0	0	0	0	Total Hours	Hours
Totals:	0		5	0	5	-16	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	96	0	•	0	0	0	٥	Delta	
96			5		S	-16									96							Supp #1	
						Revised meetings are listed below	2 Person, 4hr, 2 reviews TCP ONLY (2*4*2)								Scale 60' with 2 Phase and 1 mile (48hrs*1mile*2phases)	Provide analysis and typical and require contractor to design during construction per FDOT Standard Index		Information Provided by Wantman Group			Information Provided by Wantman Group	Comments	(株式の大学) できないでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、またでは、大学のでは いい このは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のでは、大学のは、大学のでは、大学のは、大学のは、大学のは、大学のは、大学のは、大学のは、大学のは、大学の

## Project Activity 4: Roadway Analysis

EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	*		2	Revised Meetings	Revised	8				otal Meetings
EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0	Revised Technical Meetings  Defra  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Phase II, IV, and Final.		0	2	0	თ	N	ω	5	Phase Review Meetings
EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0	Revised Technical Meetings  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 2 2 1 2 2 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 2 2 1 2 2 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  Table 1	Attend monthly progress meetings		0	0	0	0	0	0	۳ ۳	Progress Meetings (if required by FDOT)
EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0 2 2 0	EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2 EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2 EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 1 2 2 0 0 0 0 EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			2			12	3			Subtotal Technical Meetings
EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Revised Technical Meetings  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Not required	•	0	0	0	0	0		5	Other Meetings
EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2 EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Revised Technical Meetings  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 2 2 1 2 2 0	Phase II, IV, and Final	ტ	0	2	0	თ	2	ω		30/60/90/100% Comment Review Meeting:
EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  Cities, counties,  EA 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Revised Technical Meetings	Discuss concepts TCP	0	N	2	_	N	2	-		Work Zone Traffic Control
EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 2 2 0 -2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 2 2 EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		_	0	0	0	0	0	_	E	MPO)
EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 EA 1 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 EA 1 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		>								Local Governments (cities, counties,
EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2 EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2 EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 EA 1 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ē	Driveways
EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2 EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2	EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 2 2 2 EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2	n/a	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	\$	15% Line and Grade
Delta EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2 EA 0 0 0 0 0 0	Revised Technical Meetings Delta  EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2  EA 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Discuss closures/sidestreets	'n	0	N	0	2	2		Ę	Access Management
EA 1 2 2 0 2 0 -2	Revised Technical Meetings Defra		_	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	Pavement
Delta	Revised Technical Meetin	Discuss MOT section with City	<b>-</b> 23	0	N	0	2	2	_	5	Typical Section
の動物の動物を含むしているのである。 こうしゅう しゅうしき きょうしゅ かんしょ かいきかん しゅうしゅう			ngs Delta	nical Meeti	vised Techr						Chrical Meeungs

Note: Project Manager attendance at progress, phase and field review meetings are manually entered on General Task 3

-				_	Driginal So	Original Scope Hours	3	Z	e besive	Revised Scope Hours				
No.		Scale		No. of	Hours/	No. of	Total	No. of Hours	Hours	No of	Total		Supp #1	Comments
	11日の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本の日本			Units	Unit	Sheets	Hours		Unit	Sheets	Hours	Della		
5.1	Key Sheet		Sheet	٥	0	٥	0				0	0		A STATE OF THE STA
5.2	Summary of Pay Items Including Quantity Input		Sheet	0	0	0	0				0	0		
5.3	Drainage Map		Sheet	0	0	0	0				0	0		
5.4	Interchange Drainage Map		Sheet	٥	0		0				٥	۰		
5.5	Typical Section Sheets		Sheet	0	0	٥	٥				0	0		
5.6	General Notes/Pay Item Notes		Sheet	0	0	0	0				0	٥	ŀ	
5.7	Summary of Quantities		Sheet	0	0	٥	0				٥	٥		
5.8	Box Culvert Data Sheet		Sheet	0	0	٥	0				0	0		
5.9	Bridge Hydraulics Recommendation Sheets		Sheet	0	0	0	0				0	0		
5.10	Summary of Drainage Structures		Sheet	0	0	0	0				0	0		
5.11	Optional Pipe/Culvert Material		Sheet	0	0	0	0				0	0		
5.12	Project Layout		Sheet	0	0	0	0				٥	0		
5.13	Plan/Profile Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0	į			0	0		
5.14	Profile Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0		1		٥	0		
5.15	Plan Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0				٥	•		
5.16	Special Profile		Sheet	0	0	0	0				0	0		
5.17	Back of Sidewalk Profile Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0				٥	۰		
5.18	Interchange Layout Sheet		Sheet	0	0	0	0				0	0		
5.19	Ramp Terminal Details (Plan View)		Sheet	0	0	0	0				0	0		
5.20	Intersection Layout Details		Sheet	0	0	0	0				۰	٥		
5.21	Miscellaneous Detail Sheets		Sheet	0	0	0	0				0	0		7 200
5.22	Drainage Structure Sheet (Per Structure)		₽	6	0		o				0	0		

## Project Activity 5: Roadway Plans

	5,42	5,41		5.40	5.39	5.38	5.37	5.36	5,35	5,34	1. 1.	5.32	5.31	5.30	5.29	5.28	5.27	5.26	5.25	5.24	5.23	No.
	Supervision	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	A THE STATE OF THE	Utility Verification Sheet (SUE Data)	Environmental Detail Sheets	Project Control Network Sheet	SWPPP	Erosion Control Plan	Selective Clearing and Grubbing	Utility Adjustment Sheets	Traffic Control Detail Sheets	Traffic Control Cross Section Sheets	Traffic Control Plan Sheets	Cross Sections	Roadway Soil Survey Sheet	Cross-Section Pattern Sheet	Retention Pond Cross Sections	Retention/Detention Ponds Detail Sheet	Lateral Ditch Cross Sections	Lateral Ditch Plan/Profile	Miscellaneous Drainage Detail Sheets	Task
			Roadwa																			Scale
5. Roa	હ	LS	Roadway Plans Technical Subtotal	Sheet	LS	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	Sheet	ያ	Sheet	Ę	Sheet	Sheet	ΕA	Sheet	EA	Sheet	Sheet	Units
5. Roadway Plans Total	%	%	echnical	٥	0	0	o	0	0	٥	44	0	00	o	D	0	0	0	0	0	0	No. of Units
ans Total	5%	5%	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	ಪ	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Hours/ Unit
12			12	0		0	0	0	0	0	4		8		0	0		0		0	0	No. of Sheets
146	7	7	132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	0	104	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	Total Hours
	%	%	7. S. S. S.								6	10	15									No. of Units
Revis	5%	5%	Revised Subtotal								Ţ	_	6									Hours/ Unit
Revised Total			Subtotal								6	10	15									No. of Sheets
302	14	14	274	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	10	90	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Total Hours
170	14	14	142	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	10,	90	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	HOUTS Delta
156	14	14									42	10	90	į								Supp #1
										,	Includes Road & Sidewalk defour details.  Special Details for ORMC warehouse	Includes crosss sections to detail areas of TTCP.	Includes Notes; Typicals & Plan Sheets for each phase: This includes additional detail for Columbia									Commonts.
:																						

CoO\_Sligh\_Blvd\_072313\_Dannick\_Supplementals\_Revised 9. Structure Summary Dannick

Page 6 of 11

2/20/2014

9. Str	9.15 Coordination		9.14 Supervision	9.13 Indep	9.12 Quali	9.11 Tech	9.10 Field	Task No.
Structures - Summary and Miscellaneous     Tasks and Drawings Nontechnical and     Coordination Total	dination	Structures Nontechnical Subtotal	ervision	Independent Peer Review	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	Technical Meetings	Field Reviews	Task
neous al and	LS	ıbtotal	LS	LS	LS	SJ	LS	Units
	%		%	%	%		1	No. of Units
	5%		5%	0%	5%	16	12	Hours per Unit
	2	44	8	0	8	16	12	Total
						Meetings are listed below	2 persons * 1 field review * 6 hrs	Comments

Progress Meetings Phase Review Meetings	Subtotal Technical Meetings	Other Meetings	Utility Companies	Local Governments (cities, counties)	Regulatory Agency	Aesthetics Coordination	90/100% Comment Review	BDR Coordination/Review
EA		ᄧ	EA	Ē	ĒĄ	Ē	Ē	Ē
00		0	0	0	0			0
0		0	0	0	0	<del>1</del> 0	တ	0
00	16	0	0	0	0	10	6	0

Note: Project Manager attendance at progress, phase and field review meetings are manually entered on General Task 3.

CoO\_Sligh\_Blvd\_072313\_Dannick\_Supplementals\_Revised 9. Structure Summary Dannick

Page 7 of 11

2/20/2014

Ŗ

## Project Activity 18: Structures - Miscellaneous

	18.10	18.9	18.8	18.7	18.6		18.5		0.4	ò	1	<u></u>		18.2	18.1		No.	Estimator
Bus Shelter	Bridge Mounted Signs (Attached to Superstr.)	Monotube Overhead Sign Structure	Special (Long Span) Overhead Sign Structures	Overhead Span Sign Structures	Cantilever Sign Structures	Overhead/Cantilever Sign Structures	Mast Arms	Mast Arms	Concrete ottain Poles		Manage designation	Olizari Gartas	Quad Gates	Concrete Box Culverts Extensions	Concrete Box Culverts	Concrete Box Culvert	Task	7.7
	EA Design	EA Design	EA Design	EA Design	EA Design		EA Pole		EA Add'l Config	Initial Config	EA Add'l Config	Initial Config	1 1 1	EA Extension	\$		Unit	
	0	0	0	0	0		4		0	0	0	0		0	0		No. of Units	
	0	0	o	0	0		12		0	0	0	0	, vide	Đ	0		Original Scope Hours Hours/ No. of Unit Sheets	
	٥	0	0	٥	0		4		0	0	0	1		0	0		No. of Sheets	į
	•	٥	٥	٥	0	W	48		0	0	0	0		٥	0		Total Hours	
							0									* 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	No. of H	
							D	1 200	_		·						Revised Scope Hours of Hours! No. of its Unit Sheets I	
	0			0			0		0	0		0		0	0		eyised Scope Hours Hours! No. of Total Unit Sheets Hours	
	4			$\dashv$	-		ر د								_		Hours	
	•	٩		9	-		7 F		0	0	•	_	5 1 10 1 20 2	•	_		# 5 *	
3		-		+			4 Si				n/a	Not req design.			$\dashv$		T# 0	
							Single Mast Arm Assemblies on Orange     Avenue (Standard).					Not required. Plans will be concept provided by design.	のでは、これである。 (1987年) こうしょう こうしゅう (1987年) こうしゅう (1987年) こうしゅう (1987年) こうしゅう (1987年) こうしゅう (1987年) こうしゅう	-			Comments	#REF!

18.18 Aesth Spec 18.19 Fend 18.20 Fend					18.17 Design for Standards	18.16 Desig	18.15 Contr	18.14 Sumi	18.13 Vertic	18.12 Horiz	Soun	18.11 Bus Shelter	No.
	Fender System Access	Fender System	Special Structures	Aesthetic Detalls	Design for Wall Height Not Covered by Standards	Design for Wall Height Covered by Standards	Control Drawings	Summary of Quantities - Aesthetic Requirements	Vertical Wall Geometry	Horizontal Wall Geometry	Sound Barrier Walls (Ground Mount)	holter	Task
	rs.	ls.		Ls	EA Design	EA Design	Sheet	Sheet	EA Wall	EA Wall		EA Design	Crift
	١.			0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0			No, of Units
	0	0		0	0	0	0	٥	0	0		<b>330</b>	Hours/ Unit
				0	٥	٥	0	٥	0	0		2	No. of Sheets
	0	٥		٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	٥	0		130	Total J
										_			No. of Hounts
												55 (1965) 110 (23) (1975)	Hours: No. of Unit. Sheets
	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	•	•		<b>8</b>	of Total
	0	0		-	•	-	•	0	-	<b>-</b>		ł6	nours Delta
			N - 177	1		-	-		-	_		<b>å</b>	T# dding
1										20 mm mm m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m		Design: Design of (4) post foundations using RISA-3D or EnlerCAL C or equivalent software. Specially engineer will provide construction documents for the bus shelter structure above the slab on grade 5D hours  CADD: Includes drafting of (5) sheets: Structural hotes Sheet, Foundation Jayout Sheet, Roof Layout Sheet, Foundation Section Sheet, and Roof Section Sheet 4D hours  Shop Drawling Review. To be negotiated jater.	Comments

Technical Meetings

BDR Coordination/Review
90/100% Comment Review

99

-- 0

60

Page 10 of 11		
0 of 1:	age .	
	0 of 1:	

УА, 1. Да	4.18	4.17	4.16	4.15 15	4.14	(.e.)		١.
18. Structures - Miscellaneous Structures Total	Supervision	Independent Peer Review	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	Technical Meetings	Field Reviews	18. \$	Steel & Concrete Bollands	
ous Structures	LS	ទ	ક્ર	ន	เร	18. Structures - Miscellaneous Subtotal	EA Design	<u>11</u>
Total	%	%	80	_	_	ellaneou		Units
	5%	0%	5%	16	12	s Subtotal		Onle
						7		Sheets
178	0	٥	0	۰		178		Hours
	%	%	88					Units
Revised Total	5%	9%	5%			Revised Subtotal		Unit
NAME OF						A Burn		Sheets H
178	9	0	9	٥	0	180 Tc	70	Hours
Totals:	9		9	0	-	Totals:	70	
0	9		9	0		-18	3	1000000
				See Act. 9.0 Structures summary for breakdown	See Act. 9.0 Structures summary for breakdown		Steel Bollards (Orientdo Health Warehouse).  Design: No structural design is amicipaided for the steel bollards to be placed around fixed objects bleated in front of the Oriendo Health Warehouse. This bollards will not be crashworthy since the fiber objects adjacent to Stign Blyd, are located outside of the chear post of the place and the City of Oriendo has expressed their preference avoiding the use of crashworthy bollards at this locations. The bollards to be used will be bibled bollards, only intended as shranced warming to cars and trucks utilizing the driveway. A CADD detail will be provided for a thicker driveway as CADD detail will be provided for a thicker driveway as the bollards. (See CADD hours description below). CADD: Includes drafting of (1) Modified Driveway Concrete Thickness and Achor Bolt Details for bolted steel bollards. (Dus Shelter):  Design: Structural smalpsis and design of (2) aming RISA-3D or equivalent software, — 30 hours.  CADD: includes drafting of (3) sheets: (1) CADD: includes trafting of (3) sheets: (1) CADD: Includes Sheler):  CADD: Includes drafting of (3) sheets: (1) Structural Notes Sheet, (1) Plan View Sheet, and (1) Structural Sections & Details Sheet — 20 hours.	

No.