

ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES RFP14-0227 Request for Proposals for Construction Manager at Risk for Marks Street / Pasadena Place Utility Projects 6418 and 6390 April 16, 2014 – 8:00 a.m. Agenda Conference Rooms (2nd Floor) City Hall, 400 S. Orange Ave., Orlando, FL

The Advisory Committee for the above project convened as indicated above for the purpose of reviewing, evaluating, scoring, and ranking each proposal submitted in response to this solicitation.

Committee Members Present:

Ron Proulx, Construction Manager (Chair) Vic Godlewski, Wastewater Division Manager Chuck Shultz, Wastewater Division Assistant Manager Byron Raysor, MBE Compliance Investigator Steve Lockington, OUC Manager, Water Engineering

Other City Personnel Present:

Roger Cooper, Contract Administrator (Facilitator) Kristi Fries, Project Manager Tom Connery, CIID Division Manager Teddi McCorkle, Senior Contract Administrator

Members of the Public Present:

None

Actions/Discussion/Motions:

The meeting was called to order at 8:16 a.m., and attendees introduced themselves.

The Facilitator took the following actions:

- 1) Advised that Committee was approved and ethics forms were received.
- 2) Advised the Committee that a quorum was established.
- 3) Announced that the meeting was publicly posted for more than 48 hours in advance.
- 4) Reviewed Advisory Committee Rules
- 5) Reviewed Public Input Procedures

A motion was made by Steve Lockington, and seconded by Chuck Shultz, to accept the Public Input Procedures. The motion carried unanimously.

The Facilitator indicated that five (5) proposals were submitted in response to the solicitation. Those firms are as follows:

- 1) CE James Incorporated
- 2) Garney Construction
- 3) Gibbs & Register, Inc.
- 4) PCL Construction Services, Inc.
- 5) Pospiech Contracting, Inc.

The Facilitator handed out pre-determined scores for the Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of work.

The meeting was turned over to the Technical Chair. Committee Members held discussions and individually scored and conducted rankings for each firm's proposal according to the criteria outlined in the solicitation.

The results are as follows:

- 1) Garney Construction
- 2) Gibbs & Register, Inc.
- 3) PCL Construction Services, Inc.
- 4) Pospiech Contracting, Inc.
- 5) CE James Incorporated

A motion was made by Chuck Shultz, and seconded by Byron Raysor, to accept the rankings and to recommend to City Council for City staff to commence negotiations for a contract with the top ranked firm in ranked order until successful. There were no members of the public present. The motion carried unanimously.

A motion was made by Vic Godlewski, and seconded by Byron Raysor, to adjourn at 9:22 a.m. The motion carried unanimously.

These minutes are considered to be the official minutes of the RFP14-0227 Advisory Committee Meeting held on April 16, 2014, and no other notes, tapes, or other recordings taken by anyone takes precedence.

Teddi McCorkle, CPPB, C.P.M.

Sr. Contract Administrator

Reviewed by:

Submitted by:

Roger Coope), CPPO, C.P.M. (Facilitator) Contract Administrator

Attachments: List of Predetermined Scores Spreadsheet of Individual and Consolidated Rankings Individual Scores and Rankings Reviewed and Accepted by:

Ron Proulx (Chair) Construction Manager Public Works

PROJECT # : RFP14-0227 NAME OF PROJECT: Construction Manager At Risk for Marks Street/ Pasadena Place Utility Project,						
MWBE EVALUATION CRITERIAS	PROPOSERS	C. E. James, Inc	Pospiech Contracting	Garney Construction	Gibbs & Register	PCL Construction
TEN (10) points will be given for listing MBE and WBE subcontractor firms which Respondent intends to use on the project and the scope of work each MBE and WBE will perform.		10	10	10	10	10
Four (4) points will be given if the Respondent makes an affirmative declaration in its Qualification Statement that it will make a good faith effort to achieve 18% MBE subcontracting participation and 6% WBE subcontracting participation on this contract.		4	4	4	4	4
One (1) point will be given if the Respondent is either (1) an MBE or WBE firm certified or recognized by the City; or (2) a VBE firm certified by the State of Florida Department of Management Services which has its principal place of business in the Orlando MSA.		0	0	0	0	0
One (1) point will be given for listing one or more VBE subcontractors certified by the State of Florida Department of Management Services which has its principal place of business in the Orlando MSA to perform useful business functions on the contract.		0	1	1	1	1
TOTAL	0	14	15	15	15	15

Request of Proposals RFP14-0227 Construction Manager at Risk for Marks Street / Pasadena Place Utility Project

Committee	Vic	Chuck	Ron Proulx	Byron	Steve
Members>	Godlewski	Shultz	KUII PIOUIX	Raysor	Lockington

Final Consolidated Ranking:

	Vic Godlewski	Chuck Shultz	Ron Proulx	Byron Raysor	Steve Lockington	Total	Ranking
CE James Incorporated	5	5	5	5	5	25	5
Garney Construction	1	1	1	1	2	6	1
Gibbs & Register, Inc.	1	2	1	3	3	10	2
PCL Construction Services, Inc.	4	3	3	2	1	13	3
Pospiech Contracting, Inc.	3	4	4	4	3	18	4

Individual Scoring / Rankings:

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	CE James Incorporated	Garney Construction	Gibbs & Register, Inc.	PCL Construction Services, Inc.	Pospiech Contracting, Inc.
1	34	25	32	31	25	30
2	25	20	23	24	20	20
3	25	15	23	23	22	20
4	16	14	15	15	15	15
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	74	93	93	82	85
Vic Godlewski Ranki	ing	5	1	1	4	3

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	CE James Incorporated	Garney Construction	Gibbs & Register, Inc.	PCL Construction Services, Inc.	Pospiech Contracting, Inc.
1	34	15	30	20	18	20
2	25	10	20	18	15	16
3	25	10	22	17	20	16
4	16	14	15	15	15	15
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	49	87	70	68	67
Chuck Shultz Rank	ing	5	1	2	3	4

Request of Proposals RFP14-0227 Construction Manager at Risk for Marks Street / Pasadena Place Utility Project

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	CE James Incorporated	Garney Construction	Gibbs & Register, Inc.	PCL Construction Services, Inc.	Pospiech Contracting, Inc.
1	34	29	31	31	30	30
2	25	18	22	22	20	20
3	25	15	22	22	22	21
4	16	14	15	15	15	15
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	76	90	90	87	86
Ron Proulx Ranki	ing	5	1	1	3	4

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	CE James Incorporated	Garney Construction	Gibbs & Register, Inc.	PCL Construction Services, Inc.	Pospiech Contracting, Inc.
1	34	29	33	31	32	30
2	25	24	24	24	24	24
3	25	24	24	24	24	24
4	16	14	15	15	15	15
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	91	96	94	95	93
Byron Raysor Ranki	ing	5	1	3	2	4

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	CE James Incorporated	Garney Construction	Gibbs & Register, Inc.	PCL Construction Services, Inc.	Pospiech Contracting, Inc.
1	34	15	30	30	30	30
2	25	15	25	25	25	25
3	25	10	22	20	25	20
4	16	14	15	15	15	15
TOTAL POINT VALUE	100	54	92	90	95	90
Steve Lockington		5	2	3	1	3
Rank	ing					

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	VICTOR GODIRUSKI	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name:	TAMES	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	25
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	20
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	15
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14-
TOTAL SCORE	100	74
RANK	-	5

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	VICTOR GODIRUSKI	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name:	ARNEY	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	32
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	23
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	23
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	93
RANK	۱ ۱	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	Vieron Goi	Derciski Date: A	<u>pril 16, 2014</u>
Firm Name:	G+R		

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	31
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	24
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	23
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	93
RANK	1	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	VICTOR GODIEWSKI	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name:	PCL	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	25
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	20
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	22
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	82
RANK	4	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee M	lember:	Victor	- (DURWSKI	<u> Date: April 16, 2014</u>
Firm Name: _	Pos	SPIRCH	Conmacrity	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	30
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	20
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	20
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	85
RANK	Ľ	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member: _	Charles Shultz	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: <u>CE</u>	James	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	15
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	10
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	10
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
TOTAL SCORE	100	49
RANK		

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	Charles Shultz	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: <u>Gor ve</u>	y Construction	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	30
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	20
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	22
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	87
RANK		

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	Charles Shultz	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: 6,665	* Register Inc	·

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	20
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	18
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	17
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	70
RANK	2	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee M	Member:	Charles Shultz	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name	PCL	Construction Securices	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	18
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	15
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	20
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	68
RANK		3

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Me	ember: <u>Cho</u>	rles 5	w1+2-	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name:	Dospiech	Contra	retion	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	20
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	16
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	16
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	. 15
TOTAL SCORE	100	67
RANK		Y

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	PROULX	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: CEJA	MES	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	29
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	18
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	15
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
TOTAL SCORE	100	76
RANK	×	5

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	PROMIX	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: Gap NEW		

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	31
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	22
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	2 <u>2</u>
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	90
RANK		

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member: Rop Prov. (x	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: \underline{GER}	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	31
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	22
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	22
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	50
RANK	1	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member: Ron PROULX	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: Pel	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	30
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	20
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	22
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	ιs
TOTAL SCORE	100	87
RANK	3	₽

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	Proulx	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: Pospiech	Cartractino	TNC

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	30
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	ZO
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	21
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	۱۲
TOTAL SCORE	100	86
RANK	R	Ч

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	Byron	Raysor	By R	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: <u>C.E.</u>	James Inc			

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	. 34	29
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	24
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	24
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
TOTAL SCORE	100	91
RANK	.5	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	Byron	Raysor	By R	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: Garo	el Cons	truction		

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	33
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	24
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	24
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	١.5
TOTAL SCORE	100	Чь
RANK		

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	Byron Raysor	By R	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: <u>Gibbs</u>	+ Register, Inc		

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	31
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	24
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	24
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	94
RANK	3	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee M	lember: _	Byron	Raysur	By R	Date: Ap	<u>ril 16, 2014</u>
Firm Name:	PCL	Construct	tion Servi	ices, Inc		

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE			
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	32			
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	24			
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.					
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15			
TOTAL SCORE	100	9.5			
RANK	2				

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	Byron	Raysur	By M	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name: <u>Pospie</u>	<u>ch</u> Con-1	FACTING, IN	JC .	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	30
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	24
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	24
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	93
RANK	L	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member: <u>Staten M LOCICINGTON</u> Date: April 16, 2014

Firm Name:

C.E. JAMES

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	15
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	15
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	10
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
TOTAL SCORE	100	54
RANK	Ŕ	₹¥

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Memb	er: <u>5</u>	TEVEN	M	LOCKINGTON	Date: April 16, 2014
		Δ			

Firm Name: CALNEY CONSTRUCTION

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	30
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	25
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	22
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	92
RANK		2

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee Member:	STEVEN	M LOCKI	UGTON	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name:	133 4 Ra	BISSER		

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	30
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	25
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	20
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	90
RANK		3

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee N	Member: _	STEVEN M LOGINOTON	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name:	PCL	CONSTRUCTION SERVICES	INC

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	30
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	25
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	25
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	95
RANK		1

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR RANKING

Committee	Member: _	STEVEN	M	LOCILINGS	zu_	Date: April 16, 2014
Firm Name:	POSI	JIECH	Loi	UTRACTING	, nc	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Proposers based upon their Proposals in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
1. Experience of Proposer and Assigned Management Team	34	30
2. Proposer's record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects.	25	25
3. Proposer's understanding the Scope of Work requested for the project and approach to successful completion.	25	20
4. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
TOTAL SCORE	100	90
RANK		3