### **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING**

MEMBER: REJE CARCAMO	DATE:	06	1021	2015
FIRM NAME: COMPREHENSIVE	ENGINEERING	SER	VICE	S, INC.

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	29
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	19
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	14
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	9
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	2
TOTAL SCORE	100	91

RANK: 3

#### **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING**

MEMBER: RELE CARCAMO		06/02	2015
FIRM NAME: ENVISORS A PENNONI	Company		
The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score Statements in accordance with the following rating fac	the Respondents	based upon	their Qualification

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	27
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	19
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	13
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	7
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	1
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	5
TOTAL SCORE	100	87

#### **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING**

MEMBER: RE	NE C	ARCAMO	DATE: _	Ole,	102	2015	
firm name: <u>H</u>	DR EN	GINEERING,	Filc.				

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	29
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	20
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	15
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	10
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	4
TOTAL SCORE	100	97

RANK:	Ì	

### **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING**

MEMBER: RENE	CARCAMO	_ DATE: _	06/02/	2015
FIRM NAME: KIMKEU	-HORN AND AS	SOCIATES	s, INC.	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	28
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	19
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	11.52
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	13
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	1
TOTAL SCORE	100	84.52

RANK:

### **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING**

MEMBER:	ENE	CARCAM	0	DATE: _	ble,	102	2015	
FIRM NAME:	KITTI	ELSON &	ASSOCIA	TES, I	wc.			

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	29
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	20
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	14
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	10
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	3
TOTAL SCORE	100	95

RANK:	2	Res
- Andrewson - December of the state of the s		

**EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING** 

MEMBER: _	RENÉ	CARCAMO	DATE:_	Ole	102/2	2015
FIRM NAME	: METR	IC ENGINEE	RING, INC	۷, ′		_

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	29
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	19
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	14
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	2
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	0
TOTAL SCORE	100	87

RANK:

#### **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING**

MEMBER:	RENÉ	CARCA	10	_ DATE:	020/0	02/2015	
FIRM NAM	E: WET	RO CONSU	ULTING	GROUP	LLC		

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	25
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	17
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	12
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	7
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	3
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	5
TOTAL SCORE	100	83

RANK: 89

### **EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING**

MEMBER: RENE CARCAMO	DATE: 04/02/2015
FIRM NAME: VANASSE HANGEN BRU	ISTIN, INC.

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	29
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	20
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	15
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	0
TOTAL SCORE	100	90

RANK: 4

**EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING** 

MEMBER: RENE CARCAMO	DATE: 04/02/2015
FIRM NAME: WANTMAN GROUP	.INC.
The Advisory Committee will endeate and any the	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	27
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	19
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	13.51
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	13
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	10
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	3
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	0
TOTAL SCORE	100	85.51

RANK.

& 7 €