EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER: CADE BRAND	DATE:	
FIRM NAME: <u>CES</u>	and the second study of the second se	
The Advisor Committee will enduste and a	ware the Desmandents based upon their Qualifies	tion

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	27.5
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	15
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	12.5
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8.5
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	2
TOTAL SCORE	100	83.5

	poet todays marketing	
DANIZ.	600000	5
RANK:	S- Contract	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	CADE BRAU	4	_ DATE: _	6-2-	15	
FIRM NAME:	ENVISORS	5				-
The Advisory C	ommittee will evalua	ate and score the	Respondents	based upon	their	Qualification

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	25
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	15
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	10
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	7. 5
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	1
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	5
TOTAL SCORE	100	78.5

	7	
RANK:	/	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER: <u>Cade Brand</u>	DATE: 4-2-15
FIRM NAME: HDP	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	27.5
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	15
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	12.5
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8.5
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	4
TOTAL SCORE	100	86.5

RANK: SOUGH 1

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER: CADE BRAND	DATE:	6-2-1	5
FIRM NAME: Kimley-HoiRN			
The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score th	ne Respondents	based upon the	ir Qualification

Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	26
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	15
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	11.52
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	1]
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	1
TOTAL SCORE	100	76.52

RANK:	8
KAINN:	<u></u>

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	CADE B	ava			DATE:	6-	2 1	-15	
FIRM NAME:	Kirrel	SON					r.		. .
The Advisory C	Committee will	evaluate and	score	the	Respondents	based	upon	their	Qualification

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	26
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	. 15
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	12.5
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	3
TOTAL SCORE	100	83.5

	\	0
DANIZ.	H	5
RANK:		~

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER: _	Car)官	BRA	W			DATE:	6-	2 ~	-15	
FIRM NAME	E:	TR	<u> </u>								÷
The Advisory	Committee	will	evaluate	and	score	the	Respondents	based	upon	their	Qualification

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	78
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	17.5
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	/3
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8.5
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	2
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	0
TOTAL SCORE	100	84

	7	
RANK:	2	

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER: CADE BRAVD	DATE: (0-2-15	
FIRM NAME: METOD		5
The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the	Respondents based upon their	Qualification

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	25
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	15
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	10
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	7.5
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	3
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	.5
TOTAL SCORE	100	79.5

	,
RANK:	$(\bigcirc$
MAINN:	4

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER: Cape Brand	DATE: 6-2-15			
FIRM NAME: VHB	-			
m				

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	27.5
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	15
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	12.5
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8.5
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	0
TOTAL SCORE	100	81.5

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	CADE BRAWS		DATE:	6-	2-15	
FIRM NAME:	WANTMAN	Group	_ 111		,	-
The Advisory Co	ommittee will evaluate a	and score the	Respondents	based up	on their	Qualification

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	25
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	15
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	13.51
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	10
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	7.5
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	3
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	0
TOTAL SCORE	100	74.01

	9
RANK:	J