EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	GUS	CASTRO	DATE:	6/	2/15	
FIRM NAME:	COM	PREHENSIVE	ENGINEE	RING	SERVICES,	INC.

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	25
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	15
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	12
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	6
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	2
TOTAL SCORE	100	78

RANK:

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	GUS	CASTRO	DATE:	6/2/15
FIRM NAME: _	モル	JISORS	(PENNON	()

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	20
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	10
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	10
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	5
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	Ì
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	5
TOTAL SCORE	100	66

RANK:

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	GUS CASTRO	DATE:	6/2/15
FIRM NAME: _	HDR		

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	28
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	18
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	14
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	4
TOTAL SCORE	100	91

RANK:

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	GUS	CASTRO	DATE:	6/2/15	
FIRM NAME: _	K	IMLEY-HOR	2N		

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	26
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	18
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	11.52
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	14
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	1\$
TOTAL SCORE	100	72571

RANK:

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	GUS	CASTRO	DA	TE:	6/2/15	
FIRM NAME: _		KITTELSON	ŧ	ASSO:		

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	28
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	18
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	14
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	3
TOTAL SCORE	100	90

RANK:

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	GUS	CAST	TRO	_ DATE:	6/2/15
FIRM NAME:	MET	RIC	EN	SINGERIN	5

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	25
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	18
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	15
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	14
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	2
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	0
TOTAL SCORE	100	82

RANK:

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	GUS CASTR	O DATE:	6/2/15
FIRM NAME: _	METRO	CONSULTING	GNOUP
m			

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	25
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	15
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	12
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	6
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	3
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	5
TOTAL SCORE	100	80

RANK: (6)

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	GUS CASTRO	DATE:	6/2/15	
FIRM NAME: _	VHB	g = d= v		

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	2
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	18
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	14
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	14
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	8
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	4
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	0
TOTAL SCORE	100	100

RANK:

EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR SHORT-LISTING

MEMBER:	GUS	CASTR	DAT	E:	6/2/15
FIRM NAME:	WAN	MAN	GROUP	INC.	

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Respondent's experience and qualifications.	30	20
B. The experience and qualifications of the subconsultants.	20	10
C. Participation of City-certified or recognized MBE/WBE firms and VBE firms in the performance of the work.	16	13.54
D. The Respondent and subconsultants' records of successful performances on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules and budgetary requirements for such projects.	15	10
E. Ability of Respondent's and subconsultants' personnel to devote necessary time to the project and work successfully with City staff and any other stakeholders.	10	6
F. Proximity of the location of Respondent's office, where the majority of its work will be performed on this project, to the City of Orlando.	4	3
G. Volume of work previously awarded to Respondent by the City.	5	Ö
TOTAL SCORE	100	6251

RANK: