Advisory Committee Meeting Final Ranking
RFQu14-0325 Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure
September 25, 2014

COMMITTEE MEMBERS -->	Hector Sanchez	Chuck Shultz	Howard Elkins	Paul Crouter	Byron Raysor

CONSOLIDATED RANKING:

	Hector Sanchez	Chuck Shultz	Howard Elkins	Paul Crouter	Byron Raysor	Total	Ranking
Cathcart Construction Company-FL, LLC	5	0	4	3	3	15	5
C.E. James, Inc.	7	0	7	7	7	28	7
Gibbs & Register, Inc.	4	0	5	2	2	13	2
JCB Construction, Inc.	6	0	3	4	1	14	4
PCL Construction Services, Inc.	2	0	1	1	4	8	1
Prime Construction Group, Inc.	1	0	2	5	5	13	2
Schuller Contractors Incorporated	3	0	6	6	6	21	6
Uribe Site Development, Inc.	8	0	8	8	8	32	8

TIE-BREAKER ANALYSIS:

Since there is a tie between the following two firms for the Number Two (2) position, the total scores for these same firms are compared below in order to break this tie:

	Hector Sanchez	Chuck Shultz	Howard Elkins	Paul Crouter	Byron Raysor	Total	Ranking

Advisory Committee Meeting Final Ranking
RFQu14-0325 Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure
September 25, 2014

Gibbs & Register, Inc.	**89**	**0**	**92**	**86**	**97**	**364**	**2**
Prime Construction Group, Inc.	**94**	**0**	**95**	**76**	**94**	**359**	**3**

FINAL RANKING:

COMPANY	RANKING
PCL Construction Services, Inc.	**1**
Gibbs & Register, Inc.	**2**
Prime Construction Group, Inc.	**3**
JCB Construction, Inc.	**4**
Cathcart Construction Company-FL, LLC	**5**
Schuller Contractors Incorporated	**6**
C.E. James, Inc.	**7**
Uribe Site Development, Inc.	**8**

INDIVIDUAL SCORING AND RANKING:

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	Cathcart Construction Company-FL, LLC	C.E. James, Inc.	Gibbs & Register, Inc.	JCB Construction, Inc.	PCL Construction Services, Inc.	Prime Construction Group, Inc.	Schuller Contractors Incorporated	Uribe Site Development, Inc.
A	**32**	27	28	28	27	30	31	30	20
B	**32**	29	28	28	28	30	30	29	20
C	**10**	9	9	9	9	10	10	10	6

Advisory Committee Meeting Final Ranking
RFQu14-0325 Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure
September 25, 2014

D	**10**	9	8	10	8	9	9	9	6
E	**16**	14	4	14	15	14	14	14	0
TOTAL POINT VALUE	**100**	**88**	**77**	**89**	**87**	**93**	**94**	**92**	**52**
Hector Sanchez		**5**	**7**	**4**	**6**	**2**	**1**	**3**	**8**
Ranking									

NO.	**POSSIBLE POINTS**	**Cathcart Construction Company-FL, LLC**	**C.E. James, Inc.**	**Gibbs & Register, Inc.**	**JCB Construction, Inc.**	**PCL Construction Services, Inc.**	**Prime Construction Group, Inc.**	**Schuller Contractors Incorporated**	**Uribe Site Development, Inc.**
A	**32**								
B	**32**								
C	**10**								
D	**10**								
E	**16**								
TOTAL POINT VALUE	**100**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Chuck Shultz		**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**
Ranking									

NO.	**POSSIBLE POINTS**	**Cathcart Construction Company-FL, LLC**	**C.E. James, Inc.**	**Gibbs & Register, Inc.**	**JCB Construction, Inc.**	**PCL Construction Services, Inc.**	**Prime Construction Group, Inc.**	**Schuller Contractors Incorporated**	**Uribe Site Development, Inc.**
A	**32**	31	29	28	30	31	31	29	20
B	**32**	30	30	30	30	30	31	30	22
C	**10**	8	9	10	9	10	9	9	8
D	**10**	10	9	10	10	11	10	8	8
E	**16**	14	4	14	15	14	14	14	0
TOTAL POINT VALUE	**100**	**93**	**81**	**92**	**94**	**96**	**95**	**90**	**58**
Howard Elkins		**4**	**7**	**5**	**3**	**1**	**2**	**6**	**8**
Ranking									

Advisory Committee Meeting Final Ranking
RFQu14-0325 Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure
September 25, 2014

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	Cathcart Construction Company-FL, LLC	C.E. James, Inc.	Gibbs & Register, Inc.	JCB Construction, Inc.	PCL Construction Services, Inc.	Prime Construction Group, Inc.	Schuller Contractors Incorporated	Uribe Site Development, Inc.
A	**32**	28	26	29	27	30	26	25	10
B	**32**	23	21	24	22	25	21	20	10
C	**10**	8	7	9	8	10	7	7	4
D	**10**	10	8	10	9	10	8	8	5
E	**16**	14	4	14	15	14	14	14	0
TOTAL POINT VALUE	**100**	**83**	**66**	**86**	**81**	**89**	**76**	**74**	**29**
Paul Crouter		**3**	**7**	**2**	**4**	**1**	**5**	**6**	**8**
Ranking									

NO.	POSSIBLE POINTS	Cathcart Construction Company-FL, LLC	C.E. James, Inc.	Gibbs & Register, Inc.	JCB Construction, Inc.	PCL Construction Services, Inc.	Prime Construction Group, Inc.	Schuller Contractors Incorporated	Uribe Site Development, Inc.
A	**32**	31	31	31	31	31	30	29	29
B	**32**	30	31	31	31	30	30	29	29
C	**10**	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	7
D	**10**	11	11	11	11	10	10	10	7
E	**16**	14	4	14	15	14	14	14	0
TOTAL POINT VALUE	**100**	**96**	**87**	**97**	**98**	**95**	**94**	**92**	**72**
Byron Raysor		**3**	**7**	**2**	**1**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**8**
Ranking									

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Hector Sanchez September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Cathcart Contracting

Ranked: 5

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	27
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	29
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	9
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	9
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	88

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Hector Sanchez September 25, 2014

Firm Name: CE James, Inc.

Ranked: 7

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	28
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	28
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	9
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	8
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	4
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	77

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: ~~Gibbs & Register~~ Hector Sanchez September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Gibbs & Register

Ranked: 1

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	28
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	28
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	9
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	10
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	89

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Hector Sanchez September 25, 2014

Firm Name: JCB Construction

Ranked: 6

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	27
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	28
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	9
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	8
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	15
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	87

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Hector Sanchez September 25, 2014

Firm Name: PCL Construction, Inc

Ranked: 2

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	30
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	30
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	9
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	93

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Hector Sanchez September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Prime Construction Group

Ranked: 1

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	31
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	30
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	9
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	94

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Hector Sanchez September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Schuller Contractors, Inc

Ranked: 3

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	30
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	29
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	9
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	92

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Hector Sanchez September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Uribe Site Development, Inc.

Ranked: 8

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	20
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	20
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	6
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	6
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	Ø
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	52

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: HOWARD ELKIN September 25, 2014

Firm Name: CATHCART

Ranked: 4

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	31
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	30
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	8
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	10
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	93

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: HOWARD EZKIN September 25, 2014

Firm Name: CE JAMES

Ranked: 7

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	29
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	30
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	9
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	9
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	4
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	81

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: HOWARD EZKIN September 25, 2014

Firm Name: G & R

Ranked: 5

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	28
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	30
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	10
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	92

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: HOWARD EZKIN September 25, 2014

Firm Name: JCB

Ranked: 3

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	30
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	30
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	9
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	10
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	15
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	94

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: HOWARD ELKIN September 25, 2014

Firm Name: PCL

Ranked: 1

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	31
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	30
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	~~10~~ 11
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	95

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: HOWARD ELKIN September 25, 2014

Firm Name: PRIME CONST

Ranked: 2

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	31
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	31
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	9
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	10
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	95

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: HOWARD ELKIN September 25, 2014

Firm Name: SCHULLER

Ranked: 6

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	29
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	30
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	9
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	8
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	90

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: HOWARD EZKIN September 25, 2014

Firm Name: URIBE

Ranked: 8

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	20
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	22
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	8
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	8
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	0
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	58

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: P. Crouter September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Cathcart Construction Company, LLC

Ranked: 3

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	28
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	23
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	8
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	10
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	83

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: P. Crouter September 25, 2014

Firm Name: C E James Inc

Ranked: 7

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	26
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	21
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	7
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	8
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	4
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	66

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: P. Coulter September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Gibbs & Register, Inc.

Ranked: 2

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	29
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	24
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	9
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	10
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	86

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: P. Crowter September 25, 2014

Firm Name: JCB Construction Inc

Ranked: 4

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	27
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	22
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	8
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	9
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	15
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	81

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: P. Crouter September 25, 2014

Firm Name: PCL Construction Services, Inc

Ranked: 1

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	30
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	25
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	10
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	89

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: P. Crouter September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Prime Construction Group, Inc

Ranked: 5

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	26
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	21
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	7
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	8
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	76

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: P. Crowter September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Schuller Contractors Inc

Ranked: RWC

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	25
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	20
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	7
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	8
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	RWC 74

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: P. Crouter September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Uribe Site Development, Inc

Ranked: 8

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	18
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	10
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	4
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	5
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	0
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	29

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Byron Raysor September 25, 2014

Firm Name: CATCART Construction Company-FL, LLC

Ranked: 3

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	31
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	30
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	11
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	96

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Byron Raysor September 25, 2014

Firm Name: C. E. James, Inc

Ranked: 7

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	31
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	31
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	11
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	4
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	87

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Byron Raysor September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Gibbs + Register, Inc

Ranked: 2

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	31
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	31
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	11
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	97

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Byron Raysor September 25, 2014

Firm Name: JC B Construction

Ranked: 1

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	31
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	31
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	11
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	15
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	98

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Byron Raysor September 25, 2014

Firm Name: PCL Construction Services, Inc

Ranked: 4

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	31
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	30
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	10
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	95

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Byron Raysor September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Prime Construction Group, Inc

Ranked: 5

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	30
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	30
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	10
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	94

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Byron Raysor September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Schuller Contractors Incorporated

Ranked: 6

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	29
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	29
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	10
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	10
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	14
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	92

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.

RFQu14-0325 Request for Qualification Statements for Citywide Rapid Response and Minor Projects for Infrastructure & General Grouting and Repair or Maintenance of Drainage Wells

INFRASTRUCTURE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Committee Member: Byron Raysor September 25, 2014

Firm Name: Uribe Site Development, Inc

Ranked: 8

The Advisory Committee will evaluate and score the Respondents based upon their Qualification Statements in accordance with the following rating factors.

RATING FACTORS	MAXIMUM POINTS	ITEM SCORE
A. Proposer's understanding of the scope of work requested for these types of projects; Proposer's approach to successful completion of Infrastructure Rapid Response Projects and Minor Projects, and Proposer's relevant experience on project areas of work selected.	32	29
B. Qualifications, ability, capacity, and skill of the Proposer and Proposer's team to perform the services on a timely basis. Responses of the Proposer's references. Quality, availability and adaptability of the Proposer's and Proposer's team services to meet the Project requirements.	32	29
C. Sufficiency of financial resources and ability to perform the Contract.	10	7
D. The Proposer's and Proposer's team record of successful performance accomplishing similar services on past projects including factors such as cost control, work quality and demonstrated ability to adhere to schedules.	11	7
E. Presentation and approach submitted regarding the Respondent approach to meet City of Orlando MBE/WBE requirements.	15	0
TOTAL SCORE	**100**	72

Each Advisory Committee member will evaluate the above factors and assign an item score ranging from zero (0) points to the maximum points allowed for each rating factor. The item scores will then be added to determine the total score. The maximum possible total score for this evaluation table is one hundred (100). Each member will rank the Respondents based upon the member's score for each Respondent. The ranking established by each member will be accumulated to determine the final ranking. Each member's top-ranked firm will be assigned one (1) point, second-ranked firm two (2) points and so on. After accumulating the members' scores, the firm with the lowest score shall be ranked first, the next lowest score shall be ranked second, and so on. In the event of a tie, the tied Respondents' total scores from each member will be added and compared. The Respondent with the highest point total will be ranked highest of the tied Respondents.